063982-06

DOE/OR/20722-239 M-010

Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program (FUSRAP) Contract No. DE-AC05-81OR20722

RADIOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION REPORT FOR THE RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY AT 6 HANCOCK STREET

Lodi, New Jersey

September 1989



Bechtel National, Inc.

Server and A

063982

Bechtel National, Inc.

Systems Engineers — Constructors



نو

3

800 Oak Ridge Turnpike Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830

Mail Advess: P.O. Box 350, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-0350 Telex: 3785873

SEP 2 9 1989

Jackson Plaza Tower

U.S. Department of Energy Oak Ridge Operations Post Office Box 2001 Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831-8723

Attention: Robert G. Atkin Technical Services Division

Subject:

ect: Bechtel Job No. 14501, FUSRAP Project DOE Contract No. DE-AC05-810R20722 Publication of Radiological Characterization Report for seventeen residential properties, four municipa properties, and seven commercial properties in Lodi and Maywood, New Jersey Code: 7315/WBS: 138

Dear Mr. Atkin:

Enclosed is one copy each of the 28 subject published reports for the properties listed in Attachment 1. These reports incorporate all comments received in this review cycle (CCNs 063165, 063327, 062285, and 061568) and are being published with approval of Steve Oldham, as reported in CCN 063868.

Also enclosed (as Attachment 2) is a proposed distribution list for these reports. Please send us any changes to the proposed distribution list at your earliest convenience so we may distribute the reports.

BNI would like to express our thanks to Mr. Oldham for his cooperation and efforts to review these drafts in an accelerate manner. His efforts have allowed us to publish these reports or schedule. If you have any questions about these documents, please call me at 576-4718.

Very truly yours,

R. C. Robertson

Project Manager - FUSRAP

11-

CONCURRENCE

RCR:wfs:1756x Enclosure: As stated

cc: J. D. Berger, ORAU (w/e)
 N. J. Beskid, ANL (w/e)

DOE/OR/20722-239

١

RADIOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION REPORT FOR THE RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY AT 6 HANCOCK STREET

LODI, NEW JERSEY

SEPTEMBER 1989

Prepared for

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OAK RIDGE OPERATIONS OFFICE Under Contract No. DE-AC05-810R20722

By

N. C. Ring, D. J. Whiting, and W. F. Stanley Bechtel National, Inc. Oak Ridge, Tennessee

Bechtel Job No. 14501

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Ļ

استعجب

-

للترة فستولو ورقت

Surger l

			<u>Page</u>
List	of F	igures	iv
List	of T	ables	iv
Abbr	eviat	ions	v
1.0	Intr	oduction and Summary	1
	1.1	Introduction	1
	1.2	Purpose	3
	1.3	Summary	3
	1.4	Conclusions	5
2.0	Site	History	7
	2.1	Previous Radiological Surveys	8
	2.2	Remedial Action Guidelines	9
3.0	Heal	th and Safety Plan	12
	3.1	Subcontractor Training	12
	3.2	Safety Requirements	12
4.0	Char	acterization Procedures	14
	4.1	Field Radiological Characterization	14
		4.1.1 Measurements Taken and Methods Used	14
		4.1.2 Sample Collection and Analysis	17
	4.2	Building Radiological Characterization	19
5.0	Char	acterization Results	22
	5.1	Field Radiological Characterization	22
	5.2	Building Radiological Characterization	27
Refe	rence	S	35
Ασρε	ndix	A - Geologic Drill Logs for 6 Hancock Street	A-1

t

LIST OF FIGURES

l.

and and

ļ

, interest

1

<u>Figure</u>	Title	<u>Page</u>
1-1	Location of Lodi Vicinity Properties	2
1-2	Location of 6 Hancock Street	4
4-1	Borehole Locations at 6 Hancock Street	16
4-2	Surface and Subsurface Soil Sampling Locations at 6 Hancock Street	18
4-3	Gamma Exposure Rate Measurement Locations at 6 Hancock Street	21
5-1	Area of Surface Contamination at 6 Hancock Street	23
5-2	Areas of Subsurface Contamination at 6 Hancock Street	26

LIST OF TABLES

٤

<u>Table</u>	Title	Page
2-1	Summary of Residual Contamination Guidelines for the Lodi Vicinity Properties	10
5-1	Surface and Subsurface Radionuclide Concentrations in Soil for 6 Hancock Street	29
5-2	Downhole Gamma Logging Results for 6 Hancock Street	30
5-3	Gamma Radiation Exposure Rates for 6 Hancock Street	34

ABBREVIATIONS

ţ

for a contract

; ; ;

Î

, Y

-

1

	•
cm	centimeter
cm^2	square centimeter
cpm	counts per minute
dpm	disintegrations per minute
ft	foot
h	hour
in.	inch
km ²	square kilometer
L	liter
L/min	liters per minute
m	meter
m ²	square meter
MeV	million electron volts
μ R/h	microroentgens per hour
mi	mile
mi ²	square mile
min	minute
mrad/h	millirad per hour
mrem	millirem
mrem/yr	millirem per year
pCi/g	picocuries per gram
pCi/L	picocuries per liter
WL	working level
yd	yard
yd ³	cubic yard

v

1.0 INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

This section provides a brief description of the history and background of the Maywood site and its vicinity properties. Data obtained from the radiological characterization of this vicinity property are also presented.

1.1 INTRODUCTION

The 1984 Energy and Water Appropriations Act authorized the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) to conduct a decontamination research and development project at four sites, including the site of the former Maywood Chemical Works (now owned by the Stepan Company) and its vicinity properties. The work is being administered under the Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program (FUSRAP) under the direction of the DOE Division of Facility and Site Decommissioning Projects. Several residential, commercial, and municipal properties in Lodi, New Jersey, are included in FUSRAP as vicinity properties. Figure 1-1 shows the location of the Lodi vicinity properties in relation to the former Maywood Chemical Works.

The U.S. Government initiated FUSRAP in 1974 to identify, clean up, or otherwise control sites where low-activity radioactive contamination (exceeding current guidelines) remains from the early years of the nation's atomic energy program or from commercial operations that resulted in conditions Congress has mandated that DOE remedy (Ref. 1).

FUSRAP is currently being managed by DOE Oak Ridge Operations. As the Project Management Contractor for FUSRAP, Bechtel National, Inc. (BNI) is responsible to DOE for planning, managing, and implementing FUSRAP.




FIGURE 1-1 LOCATION OF LODI VICINITY PROPERTIES

1.2 <u>PURPOSE</u>

The purpose of the 1986 survey performed by BNI was to locate the horizontal and vertical boundaries of radionuclide concentrations exceeding remedial action guidelines.

1.3 <u>SUMMARY</u>

This report details the procedures and results of the radiological characterization of the property at 6 Hancock Street (Figure 1-2) in Lodi, New Jersey, which was conducted from December 1986 through January 1987.

Ultimately, the data generated during the radiological characterization will be used to define the complete scope of remedial action necessary to release the site.

This characterization confirmed that thorium-232 is the primary radioactive contaminant at this property. Results of surface soil samples for 6 Hancock Street showed maximum concentrations of thorium-232 and radium-226 to be 22.5 and 11.8 pCi/g, respectively. The maximum concentration of uranium-238 in surface soil samples was less than 11.7 pCi/g.

Subsurface soil sample concentrations ranged from 2.1 to 6.8 pCi/g for thorium-232 and from less than 0.8 to 2.5 pCi/g for radium-226. The average background level in this area for both radium-226 and thorium-232 is 1.0 pCi/g. The concentrations of uranium-238 in subsurface soil samples ranged from less than 6.0 to less than 8.4 pCi/g. Because the major contaminants at the vicinity properties are thorium and radium, the decontamination guidelines provide the appropriate guidance for the cleanup activities. DOE believes that these guidelines are conservative for considering potential adverse health effects that might occur




FIGURE 1-2 LOCATION OF 6 HANCOCK STREET

in the future from any residual contamination. The dose contributions from uranium and any other radionuclides not numerically specified in these guidelines are not expected to be significant following decontamination. In addition, vicinity properties will be decontaminated in a manner so as to reduce future doses to levels that are as low as reasonably achievable (ALARA) (Ref. 2).

Soil analysis data for this property did not indicate surface contamination. Subsurface investigation by gamma logging indicated contamination to a depth of 2.43 m (8.0 ft).

Exterior gamma radiation exposure rates ranged from 8 to 13 μ R/h, including background. The indoor measurement showed a rate of 7 μ R/h, including background.

The radon-222 measurements inside the residence indicated concentrations of 0.2 and 0.3 pCi/L, respectively, which are within the DOE guideline of 3.0 pCi/L.

Measurements for radon daughters ranged from 0.006 to 0.0012 working level (WL), and measurements for thoron daughters were both 0.0004 WL.

All data tables for this property appear at the end of this report.

1.4 <u>CONCLUSIONS</u>

Evaluation of data collected, analyses performed, and historical documentation reviewed indicates the presence of radiological contamination on the property located at 6 Hancock Street. This contamination is primarily subsurface contamination ranging from a depth of 1.37 m (4.5 ft) to 2.43 m (8.0 ft). In addition, the contamination appears to

extend beneath the residence as well as into the street in front of the residence. Soil sample analysis indicates an isolated area of surface contamination near the porch. The total affected area is estimated to be approximately 45 percent of the property. These conclusions are supported by documentation that establishes the presence of the former channel of Lodi Brook in this area. This channel is the suspected transport mechanism for the radiological contamination.

2.0 SITE HISTORY

The Maywood Chemical Works was founded in 1895. The company began processing thorium from monazite sand in 1916 (during World War I) for use in manufacturing gas mantles for various lighting devices. The company continued this work until 1956. Process wastes from manufacturing operations were pumped to two areas surrounded by earthen dikes on property west of the plant. Subsequently, some of the contaminated wastes migrated onto adjacent and vicinity properties.

In 1928 and again between 1944 and 1946, some of the residues from the processing operations were moved from the company's property and used as mulch and fill in nearby low-lying areas. The fill material consisted of tea and coca leaves mixed with other material resulting from operations at the plant. Some fill material apparently contained thorium process wastes (Ref. 3).

Uncertainty exists as to how the properties in Lodi were contaminated. According to an area resident, fill from an unknown source was brought to Lodi and spread over large portions of the previously low-lying and swampy area. For several reasons, however, a more plausible explanation is that the contamination migrated along a drainage ditch originating on the Maywood Chemical Works property. First, it can be seen from photographs and tax maps of the area that the course of a previously existing stream known as Lodi Brook, which originated at the former Maywood Chemical Works, generally coincides with the path of contamination in Lodi. The brook was subsequently replaced by a storm drain system as the area was developed. Second, samples taken from Lodi properties indicate elevated concentrations of a series of elements known as rare earths. Rare earth elements are

typically found in monazite sands, which also contain thorium. This type of sand was feedstock at the Maywood Chemical Works, and elevated levels are known to exist in the by-product of the extraction process. Third, the ratio of thorium to other radionuclides found on these Lodi properties is comparable to the ratio found in contaminated material on other properties in Lodi (Ref. 4). And finally, long-time residents of Lodi recalled chemical odors in and around the brook in Lodi and steam rising off the water. These observations suggest that discharges of contaminants occurred upstream.

The Stepan Chemical Company (now called the Stepan Company) purchased Maywood Chemical Works in 1959. The Stepan Company itself has never been involved in the manufacture or processing of any radioactive materials (Ref. 5).

2.1 PREVIOUS RADIOLOGICAL SURVEYS

Į.

Numerous surveys of the Maywood site and its vicinity properties have been conducted. Among the past surveys, three that are pertinent to this vicinity property are detailed in this section.

January 1981--The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) directed that a survey be conducted of the Stepan Company property and its vicinity properties in January 1981. Using the Stepan Company plant as the center, a 10.3-km² (4-mi²) aerial survey was conducted by the EG&G Energy Measurements Group, which identified anomalous concentrations of thorium-232 to the north and south of the Stepan Company property. The Lodi vicinity properties were included in this survey (Ref. 6).

June 1984--In June 1984, Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) conducted a "drive-by" survey of Lodi using its "scanning van." Although not comprehensive, the survey indicated areas requiring further investigation (Ref. 7).

<u>September 1986</u>--At the request of DOE, ORNL conducted radiological surveys of the vicinity properties in Lodi in September 1986 to determine which properties contained radioactive contamination in excess of DOE guidelines and would, therefore, require remedial action (Ref. 8).

2.2 REMEDIAL ACTION GUIDELINES

Table 2-1 summarizes the DOE guidelines for residual contamination. The thorium-232 and radium-226 limits listed in Table 2-1 will be used to determine the extent of remedial action required at the vicinity properties. DOE developed these guidelines to be consistent with the guidelines established by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for the Uranium Mill Tailings Remedial Action Program.

TABLE 2-1 SUMMARY OF RESIDUAL CONTAMINATION GUIDELINES

BASIC DOSE LIMITS

The basic limit for the annual radiation dose received by an individual member of the general public is 100 mrem/yr.

SOIL GUIDELINES

Soil Concentration (pCl/g) Above Background ^{a,b,c}		
5 pCi/g when averaged over the first 15 cm of soil below		
the surface; 15 pCi/g when averaged over any 15-cm-thick		
soil layer below the surface layer.		
Soil guidelines will be calculated on a site-specific basis using the DOE manual developed for this use.		

STRUCTURE GUIDELINES

Airborne Radon Decay Products

Generic guidelines for concentrations of airborne radon decay products shall apply to existing occupied or habitable structures on private property that has no radiological restrictions on its use; structures that will be demolished or buried are excluded. The applicable generic guideline (40 CFR 192) is: In any occupied or habitable building, the objective of remedial action shall be, and reasonable effort shall be made to achieve, an annual average (or equivalent) radon decay product concentration (including background) not to exceed 0.02 WL^d. In any case, the radon decay product concentration (including background) shall not exceed 0.03 WL. Remedial actions are not required in order to comply with this guideline when there is reasonable assurance that residual radioactive materials are not the cause.

٤

External Gamma Radiation

The average level of gamma radiation inside a building or habitable structure on a site that has no radiological restrictions on its use shall not exceed the background level by more than 20 µR/h.

Indoor/Outdoor Structure Surface Contamination

	Allowable Surface Residual Contamination [®] (dpm/100 cm ²)		
Radionuciide [†]	Average ^{g,h}	Maximum ^{h,i}	Removable ^{h,j}
Transuranics, Ra-226, Ra-228, Th-230, Th-228 Pa-231, Ac-227, I-125, I-129	100	300	20
Th-Natural, Th-232, Sr-90, Ra-223, Ra-224 U-232, I-126, I-131, I-133	1,000	3,000	200
U-Natural, U-235, U-238, and associated decay products	5,000 α	15,000 α	1,000 α
Beta-gamma emitters (radionuclides with decay modes other than alpha emission or spontaneous fission) except Sr-90 and others noted above	5,000 B - γ	15,000 B - γ	1,000 B - γ

TABLE 2-1 (CONTINUED)

- "These guidelines take into account ingrowth of radium-226 from thorium-230 and of radium-228 from thorium-232, and assume secular equilibrium. If either thorium-230 and radium-226 or thorium-232 and radium-228 are both present, not in secular equilibrium, the guidelines apply to the higher concentration. If other mixtures of radionuclides occur, the concentrations of individual radionuclides shall be reduced so that 1) the dose for the mixtures will not exceed the basic dose limit, or 2) the sum of ratios of the soll concentration of each radionuclide to the allowable limit for that radionuclide will not exceed 1 ("unity").
- ^bThese guidelines represent allowable residual concentrations above background averaged across any 15-cm-thick layer to any depth and over any contiguous 100-m² surface area.
- ^CLocalized concentrations in excess of these limits are allowable, provided that the average concentration over a 100-m² area does not exceed these limits. In addition, every reasonable effort shall be made to remove any source of radionuclide that exceeds 30 times the appropriate soil limit, regardless of the average concentration in the soil.
- ^dA working level (WL) is any combination of short-lived radon decay products in 1 liter of air that will result in the ultimate emission of 1.3 x 105 MeV of potential alpha energy.
- ^eAs used in this table, dpm (disintegrations per minute) means the rate of emission by radioactive material as determined by correcting the counts per minute observed by an appropriate detector for background, efficiency, and geometric factors associated with the instrumentation.
- ¹Where surface contamination by both alpha- and beta-gamma-emitting radionuclides exists, the limits established for alpha- and beta-gamma-emitting radionuclides should apply independently.
- ⁹Measurements of average contamination should not be averaged over more than 1 m². For objects of less surface area, the average shall be derived for each such object.
- ^hThe average and maximum radiation levels associated with surface contamination resulting from beta-gamma emitters should not exceed 0.2 mrad/h and 1.0 mrad/h, respectively, at 1 cm.
- The maximum contamination level applies to an area of not more than 100 cm².
- ¹The amount of removable radioactive material per 100 cm² of surface area should be determined by wiping that area with dry filter or soft absorbent paper, applying moderate pressure, and measuring the amount of radioactive material on the wipe with an appropriate instrument of known efficiency. When removable contamination on objects of surface area less than 100 cm² is determined, the activity per unit area should be based on the actual area and the entire surface should be wiped. The numbers in this column are maximum amounts.

3.0 HEALTH AND SAFETY PLAN

BNI is responsible for protecting the health of personnel assigned to work at the site. As such, all subcontractors and their personnel were required to comply with the provisions of BNI health and safety requirements and as directed by the on-site BNI Health and Safety Officer.

3.1 SUBCONTRACTOR TRAINING

Before the start of work, all subcontractor personnel attended an orientation session presented by the BNI Health and Safety Officer to explain the nature of the material to be encountered in the work and the personnel monitoring and safety measures that are required.

3.2 SAFETY REQUIREMENTS

Subcontractor personnel complied with the following BNI requirements:

- Bioassay--Subcontractor personnel submitted bioassay samples before or at the beginning of on-site activity, upon completion of the activity, and periodically during site activities as requested by BNI.
- Protective Clothing/Equipment--Subcontractor personnel were required to wear the protective clothing/equipment specified in the subcontract or as directed by the BNI Health and Safety Officer.
- o Dosimetry--Subcontractor personnel were required to wear and return daily the dosimeters and monitors issued by BNI.
- Controlled Area Access/Egress--Subcontractor personnel and equipment entering areas where access and egress were controlled for radiation and/or chemical safety purposes were surveyed by the BNI Health and Safety Officer (or personnel representing BNI) for contamination before leaving those areas.

 Medical Surveillance--Upon written direction from BNI, subcontractor personnel who work in areas where hazardous chemicals might exist were given a baseline and periodic health assessment defined in BNI's Medical Surveillance Program.

Radiation and/or chemical safety surveillance of all activities related to the scope of work was under the direct supervision of personnel representing BNI.

Health and safety-related requirements for all activities involving exposure to radiation, radioactive material, chemicals, and/or chemically contaminated materials and other associated industrial safety hazards are generated in compliance with applicable regulatory requirements and industry-wide standards. Copies of these requirements are located at the BNI project office for use by project personnel.

۲.

4.0 CHARACTERIZATION PROCEDURES

A master grid was established by the surveyor. BNI's radiological support subcontractor, Thermo Analytical/Eberline (TMA/E), established a grid on individual properties. The size of the grid blocks was adjusted to characterize each property adequately. The grid origin allows the grid to be reestablished during remedial action and is correlated with the New Jersey state grid system. All data correspond to coordinates on the characterization grid. The grid with the east and north coordinates is shown on all figures included in Sections 4.0 and 5.0 of this report.

4.1 <u>FIELD RADIOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION</u>

This section provides a description of the instrumentation and methodologies used to obtain exterior surface and subsurface measurements during radiological characterization of this project.

4.1.1 Measurements Taken and Methods Used

An initial walkover survey was performed using an unshielded gamma scintillation detector [5.0- by 5.0-cm (2- by 2-in.) thallium-activated sodium iodide probe] to identify areas of elevated radionuclide activity. Near-surface gamma measurements taken using a cone-shielded gamma scintillation detector were also used to determine areas of surface contamination. The shielded detector ensured that the majority of the radiation detected by the instrument originated from the ground directly beneath the unit. Shielding against lateral gamma flux, or shine, from nearby areas of contamination minimized potential sources of error in the measurements. The measurements were taken 30.4 cm (12 in.) above the ground at the intersections of

3.0-m (10-ft) grid lines. The shielded detector was calibrated at the Technical Measurements Center (TMC) in Grand Junction, Colorado, to provide a correlation of counts per minute (cpm) to picocuries per gram (pCi/g). This calibration demonstrated that approximately 11,000 cpm corresponds to the DOE guideline of 5 pCi/g plus local average background of 1 pCi/g for thorium-232 in surface soils (Ref. 9).

A subsurface investigation was conducted to determine the depth to which the previously identified surface contamination extended and to locate subsurface contamination where there was no surface manifestation. The subsurface characterization consisted of drilling seven boreholes (Figure 4-1) [using either a 7.6-cm- (3-in.-) or 15.2-cm-(6-in.-) diameter auger bit], and gamma logging them. The boreholes were drilled to depths determined in the field by the radiological and geological support representatives.

The downhole gamma logging technique was used because the procedure can be accomplished in less time than collecting soil samples, and the need for analyzing these samples in a laboratory is eliminated. A 5.0- by 5.0-cm (2- by 2-in.) sodium iodide gamma scintillation detector was used to perform the downhole logging. The instrument was calibrated at TMC where it was determined that a count rate of approximately 40,000 cpm corresponds to the 15-pCi/g subsurface contamination guideline for thorium-232. This relationship has also been corroborated by results from previous characterizations where thorium-232 was found (Ref. 9).

Gamma radiation measurements were taken at 15.2-cm (6-in.) vertical intervals to determine the depth and concentration of the contamination. The gamma-logging data were reviewed




FIGURE 4-1 BOREHOLE LOCATIONS AT 6 HANCOCK STREET

to identify trends, whether or not concentrations exceeded the guidelines.

4.1.2 <u>Sample Collection and Analysis</u>

To identify surface areas where the level of contamination exceeded the DOE quideline of 5 pCi/g for thorium-232, areas with measurements of more than 11,000 cpm were plotted. Using these data as well as data from previous surveys (Refs. 5, 6, 7, and 8), the locations of biased surface soil samples were selected to better define the limits of contamination. Surface soil samples were taken at seven locations (Figure 4-2) and analyzed for thorium-232, uranium-238, and radium-226. Each sample was dried, pulverized, and counted for 10 min using an intrinsic germanium detector housed in a lead counting cave lined with cadmium and copper. The pulse height distribution was sorted using a computer-based, multichannel analyzer. Radionuclide concentrations were determined by comparing the gamma spectrum of each sample with the spectrum of a certified counting standard for the radionuclide of interest.

Subsurface soil samples were collected from seven locations (Figure 4-2) using the side-wall sampling method and were analyzed to compare laboratory soil sample results to downhole gamma radiation measurements. A cup or can attached to a steel pipe or wooden stake was inserted into the borehole and used to scrape samples off the side of the borehole at a specified depth. The subsurface soil samples were analyzed for radium-226, uranium-238, and thorium-232 in the same manner as the surface soil samples.




FIGURE 4-2 SURFACE AND SUBSURFACE SOIL SAMPLING LOCATIONS AT 6 HANCOCK STREET

4.2 BUILDING RADIOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION

After evaluating previous radiological survey data as well as data from this characterization, it was suspected that contamination might be present under the foundation of the residence. A radon measurement was obtained to verify the presence of contaminated material under the residence and to estimate potential occupational exposures during future remedial actions.

Indoor radon measurements were made using the Tedlar bag method. Samples were collected by pumping air into a Tedlar bag at a rate of approximately 2 L/min. The air sample was transferred directly into a scintillation cell with an interior coating of zinc sulfide and an end window for viewing the scintillations. Analysis of the sample was simplified by allowing the radon decay products to build up over time. This method allowed all the radon decay products to come into secular equilibrium with the radon. The scintillation cell was placed in contact with a photomultiplier tube, and the scintillations were counted using standard nuclear counting instrumentation.

Indoor air samples were collected to determine a WL for radon and thoron daughters. To measure radon daughters, an air sample was collected for exactly 5 min through a 0.45-micron filter at a rate of 11 L/min for a total sample volume of 55 L. Alpha particle activity on the filter paper was counted from 40 to 90 min after sampling. An alpha scintillation detector coupled to a count-rate meter or digital scaler was used. Measurements for thoron daughters were made using the same method as for radon daughters with the exception of the time between collection of the air sample and counting of the alpha particle activity. In the case of thoron daughters, the sample was allowed to age for

at least 5 h after sampling before alpha activity was counted. This elapsed time allowed radon daughters, which may have been present with the thoron daughters, to decay sufficiently so as not to interfere in calculating the WL for thoron daughters.

Exterior gamma exposure rate measurements were made at five locations throughout the property grid system and at one location inside the residence. To obtain these measurements, either a 5.0- by 5.0-cm (2- by 2-in.) thallium-activated sodium iodide gamma scintillation detector designed to detect gamma radiation only or a pressurized ionization chamber (PIC) was used. Measurement locations are shown in The PIC instrument has a response to gamma Figure 4-3. radiation that is proportional to exposure in roentgens. Α conversion factor for gamma scintillation to the PIC was established through a correlation of these two measurements at four locations in the vicinity of the property. The unshielded gamma scintillation detector readings were then used to estimate gamma exposure rates for each location. These measurements were taken 1 m (3 ft) above the ground. The locations were determined to be representative of the entire property. Interior measurements are generally obtained with the gamma scintillation instrument rather than the PIC because of its smaller size and the desire to minimize the technician's time inside the residence.




FIGURE 4-3 GAMMA EXPOSURE RATE MEASUREMENT LOCATIONS AT 6 HANCOCK STREET

5.0 CHARACTERIZATION RESULTS

Radiological characterization results are presented in this section. The data included represent exterior surface and subsurface radiation measurements and interior radiation measurements.

5.1 FIELD RADIOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION

Near-surface gamma radiation measurements on the property ranged from 1,700 cpm to approximately 8,000 cpm. The average background level for this area is 5,000 cpm. A measurement of 11,000 cpm is approximately equal to the DOE guideline for thorium-232 of 5 pCi/g above background for surface soil contamination. Using this correlation, the near-surface gamma measurements were used to determine the extent of surface contamination and the basis for selecting the locations of soil samples. Areas of surface contamination are shown in Figure 5-1.

Surface soil samples [depths from 0.0 to 15.2 cm (0.5 in.)] were taken at seven locations on the property and one location in the street immediately adjacent to the property (Figure 4-2). These samples were analyzed for thorium-232, uranium-238, and radium-226. The concentrations in these samples ranged from less than 6.7 to less than 11.1 pCi/g for uranium-238, from 1.5 to 22.5 pCi/g for thorium-232, and from less than 0.9 to 11.8 pCi/g for radium-226. Analytical results for surface soils are provided in Table 5-1; these data showed concentrations of thorium-232 in excess of DOE guidelines (5 pCi/g plus background of 1 pCi/g for surface soils) with a maximum concentration of 22.5 pCi/g. In addition, one surface soil sample showed concentrations of radium-226 in excess of DOE guidelines (5 pCi/g plus background of 1 pCi/g for surface soils) with a maximum




FIGURE 5-1 AREA OF SURFACE CONTAMINATION AT 6 HANCOCK STREET

concentration of 11.8 pCi/g. The most plausible explanation for this data is that it is an anomaly. Use of the "less than" (<) notation in reporting results indicates that the radionuclide was not present in concentrations that are quantitative with the instruments and techniques used. The "less than" value represents the lower bound of the quantitative capacity of the instrument and technique used. The "less than" value is based on various factors, including the volume, size, and weight of the sample; the type of detector used; the counting time; and the background count rate. The actual concentration of the radionuclide is less than the value indicated. In addition, since radioactive decay is a random process, a correlation between the rate of disintegration and a given radionuclide concentration cannot be precisely established. For this reason, the exact concentration of the radionuclide cannot be determined. As such, each value that can be quantitatively determined has an associated uncertainty term (+), which represents the amount by which the actual concentration can be expected to differ from the value given in the table. The uncertainty term has an associated confidence level of 95 percent.

Thorium-232, the primary contaminant at the site, is the radionuclide most likely to exceed a specific DOE guideline in soil. Parameters for soil sample analysis were selected to ensure that the thorium-232 would be detected and measured at concentrations well below the lower guideline value of 5 pCi/g in excess of background level. Radionuclides of the uranium series, specifically uranium-238 and radium-226, are also potential contaminants but at lower concentrations than thorium-232. Therefore, these radionuclides (considered secondary contaminants) would not be present in concentrations in excess of guidelines unless thorium-232 was also present in concentrations in excess of its guideline level. Parameters selected for the thorium-232 analyses also

provide detection sensitivities for uranium-238 and radium-226 that demonstrate that concentrations of these radionuclides are below guidelines. However, because of the relatively low gamma photon abundance of uranium-238, many of the uranium-238 concentrations were below the detection sensitivity of the analytical procedure; these concentrations are reported in the data tables as "less than" values. To obtain more sensitive readings for the uranium-238 radionuclide with these analytical methods, much longer instrument counting times would be required than were necessary for analysis of thorium-232, the primary contaminant.

Analytical results for subsurface soil samples are given in Table 5-1, and gamma logging data are given in Table 5-2. The results in Table 5-2 showed a range from 9,000 cpm to 192,000 cpm. A measurement of 40,000 cpm is approximately equal to the DOE guideline for subsurface contamination of 15 pCi/g. Analyses of subsurface soil samples [taken at depths from 15.2 to 30.4 cm (0.5 to 1.0 ft)] indicated uranium-238 concentrations ranging from less than 6.0 to less than 8.4 pCi/g, thorium-232 concentrations ranging from 2.1 to 6.8 pCi/g, and radium-226 concentrations ranging from less than 0.8 to 2.5 pCi/g.

On the basis of near-surface gamma radiation measurements, surface and subsurface soil sample analyses, and downhole gamma logging, contamination of this property is believed to consist primarily of subsurface contamination at depths ranging from 1.37 m (4.5 ft) to 2.43 m (8.0 ft). The areas of subsurface contamination are shown in Figure 5-2. The subsurface contamination appears to extend beneath the residence as well as into the street in front of the property.




FIGURE 5-2 AREAS OF SUBSURFACE CONTAMINATION AT 6 HANCOCK STREET

It is apparent from review of historical documentation (e.g., aerial photographs of the area, interviews with local residents, and previous radiological surveys) that the subsurface contamination on this property lies along the former channel of Lodi Brook and its associated floodplain. The contamination on this property is similar to contamination found on residential properties in close proximity to this property. It has been established that the Lodi Brook channel through these neighboring properties once occupied locations connecting to those where stream sediments were found at 6 Hancock Street. Thus, the elevated gamma readings shown on gamma logs from boreholes drilled on this property serve as further indication of the suspected mechanism of transport for radiological contamination (i.e., stream deposition from Lodi Brook).

The vertical and horizontal limits of contamination as determined by this characterization effort are being evaluated to determine the volume of contaminated material that will require remedial action. To develop this estimate, BNI will consider the location of the contamination, construction techniques, and safety procedures.

5.2 BUILDING RADIOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION

Results of two indoor radon measurements using the Tedlar bag method indicated concentrations of less than 0.2 and 0.3 pCi/L, respectively. These measurements were substantially less than the applicable DOE guideline of 3.0 pCi/L above background (Ref. 10).

Results of two measurements for radon daughters ranged from 0.0006 to 0.00012 WL. These results were substantially less than the applicable generic guideline detailed in the Code of Federal Regulations, 40 CFR 192 (Ref. 10), which states that

an annual average (or equivalent) radon decay product concentration not exceed 0.02 WL.

Results of measurements for thoron daughters were both 0.0004 WL. The generic guideline is more restrictive for radon-222 (radon) than for radon-220 (thoron) according to the National Council on Radiological Protection [see NCRP Report No. 50 (Ref. 11), which was used as the guideline for thoron daughter measurements].

Exterior gamma radiation exposure rate measurements ranged from 8 to 13 μ R/h, including background. These results can be found in Table 5-3. Assuming the resident spends 36 hours per week for 52 weeks per year (1,872 hours or 8 hours per day for 2 days per week and 4 hours per day for 5 days per week) in the yard, the average exterior exposure rate of 10 μ R/h would result in a yearly dose of 2 mrem above background (after subtracting average background of 9 μ R/h; Ref. 12). The DOE guideline is 100 mrem/yr above background.

The indoor exposure rate measurement was 7 μ R/h, including background (Table 5-3). The indoor exposure rate does not exceed average background. For comparison, the DOE guideline for indoor exposure rate is 20 μ R/h.

Based on the above information, the exposure rates and doses at this property are within DOE guidelines. Further, it should be emphasized that natural background exposure rates vary widely across the United States and are often significantly higher than average background for this area.

SURFACE AND SUBSURFACE RADIONUCLIDE CONCENTRATIONS IN SOIL

Ż

1

1

1

j

FOR 6 HANCOCK STREET

_Coordinates ^a		Depth	Concentration (pCi/g ± 2 sigma)					
East	North	(ft)	Uranium-238	Radium-		Thor	ium	-232
2763	1866	0.0 - 0.5	< 6.9	1.3 ±	0.3	1.7	±	0.9
2763	1866	0.5 - 1.0	< 7.0	0.9 ±	0.3	2.5	±	0.1
2776	1884	0.0 - 0.5	< 7.3	0.9 ±	0.2	1.5	±	0.6
2776	1884	0.5 - 1.0	< 6.5	1.5 ±	0.2	2.8	±	0.2
2776	1935	0.0 - 0.5	< 8.4	2.8 ±	0.4	22.5	±	5.1
2776	1935	0.5 - 1.0	< 8.4	1.1 ±	0.5	6.8	±	1.6
2795	1870	0.0 - 0.5	< 7.5	1.0 ±	0.2	< 2	.6	
2795	1870	0.5 - 1.0	< 6.0	< 0.8	}	2.1	±	1.2
2798	1962	0.0 - 0.5	<11.7	1.7 ±	0.2	< 4	.0	
2798	1962	0.5 - 1.0	< 7.1	1.5 ±	0.01	2.3	±	0.1
2799	1908	0.0 - 0.5	< 6.7	11.8 ±	0.2	2.5	±	0.6
2799	1908	0.5 - 1.0	< 6.9	2.5 ±	0.6	2.4	±	0.2
2799	1919	0.0 - 0.5	<11.1	2.4 ±	0.1	2.2	±	0.9
2799	1919	0.5 - 1.0	< 8.4	1.7 ±	0.5	2.4	±	0.1

^aSampling locations are shown in Figure 4-2.

TABLE 5-2

DOWNHOLE GAMMA LOGGING RESULTS

FOR 6 HANCOCK STREET

	_ *		
Page	0 1	OT.	A
1 4 4 4		<u> </u>	-

<u>Coordi</u> East	inates ^a North	Depth ^b (ft)	Count Rate ^C (cpm)			
<u>Borehole</u>	Borehole 536R ^d					
2763 2763 2763 2763 2763 2763 2763 2763	1866 1866 1866 1866 1866 1866 1866 1866	0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0 3.5 4.0 4.5 5.0 5.5	9000 12000 13000 15000 13000 13000 13000 13000 14000 11000 12000			
2763 2763 2763 Borehole	1866 1866	6.0 6.5	10000 10000			
2776 2776 2776 2776 2776 2776 2776 2776	1884 1884 1884 1884 1884 1884 1884 1884	$\begin{array}{c} 0.5\\ 1.0\\ 1.5\\ 2.0\\ 2.5\\ 3.0\\ 3.5\\ 4.0\\ 4.5\\ 5.0\\ 5.5\\ 6.0\\ 6.5\\ 7.0\\ 7.5\\ 8.0\\ 8.5 \end{array}$	11000 13000 14000 12000 11000 12000 13000 12000 12000 12000 12000 10000 9000 9			
<u>Borehole</u> 2776 2776 2776	<u>532R</u> d 1935 1935 1935	0.5 1.0 1.5	22000 12000 21000			

TABLE	5-2
-------	-----

(continued)

Page 2 of 4

. 4. . .

1

1

	inates ^a	Depth ^b	Count Rate ^C
East	North	(ft)	(cpm)
Borehole	532R (conti	nued) ^d	
2776	1935	2.0	20000
2776	1935	2.5	22000
2776	1935	3.0	23000
2776	1935	3.5	29000
2776	1935	4.0	29000
2776	1935	4.5	31000
2776	1935	5.0	43000
2776	1935	5.5	49000
2776	1935	6.0	83000
2776	1935	6.5	396000
2776	1935	7.0	320000
2776	1935	7.5	220000
2776	1935	8.0	72000
2776	1935	8.5	26000
2776	1935	9.0	16000
<u>Borehole</u>	<u>535R</u> d		
2795	1870	0.5	14000
2795	1870	1.0	13000
2795	1870	1.5	12000
2795	1870	2.0	13000
2795	1870	2.5	11000
2795	1870	3.0	11000
2795	1870	3.5	12000
2795	1870	4.0	12000
2795	1870	4.5	12000
2795	1870	5.0	10000
2795	1870	5.5	11000
2795	1870	6.0	10000
2795	1870	6.5	9000
Borehole	<u>530R</u> d		
2798	1962	0.5	11000
2798	1962	1.0	19000
2798	1962	1.5	20000
2798	1962	2.0	22000
2798	1962	2.5	24000
2798	1962	3.0	27000
2798	1962	3.5	25000
2798	1962	4.0	28000

ŧ

TABLE 5-2

. _

• .

(continued)

Page 3 of 4							
<u>Coord</u> East	linates ^a North	Depth ^b (ft)	Count Rate ^C (cpm)				
Borehole	530R (conti	nued) ^d					
2798	1962	4.5	30000				
2798	1962	5.0	30000				
2798	1962	5.5	49000				
2798	1962	6.0	73000				
2798	1962	6.5	192000				
2798	1962	7.0	102000				
2798	1962	7.5	38000				
2798	1962	8.0	21000				
Borehole	<u>533R</u> d						
2799	1908	0.5	26000				
2799	1908	1.0	23000				
2799	1908	1.5	22000				
2799	1908	2.0	21000				
2799	1908	2.5	21000				
2799	1908	3.0	18000				
2799	1908	3.5	17000				
2799	1908	4.0	18000				
2799	1908	4.5	18000				
2799	1908	5.0	20000				
2799	1908	5.5	13000				
2799	1908	6.0	14000				
2799	1908	6.5	13000				
2799	1908	7.0	17000				
2799	1908	7.5	18000				
2799	1908	8.0	15000				
2799	1908	8.5	15000				
Borehole	<u>= 531R</u> d						
2799	1919	0.5	12000				
2799	1919	1.0	19000				
2799	1919	1.5	12000				
2799	1919	2.0	13000				
2799	1919	2.5	12000				
2799	1919	3.0	12000				
2799	1919	3.5	14000				
2799	1919	4.0	16000				
2799	1919	4.5	22000				
2799	1919	5.0	21000				

ţ,

TABLE	5-2
-------	-----

(continued)

Coord	linates ^a	${\tt Depth}^{{\tt b}}$	Count Rate ^C	
East	North	(ft)	(cpm)	
Borehole	531R (conti	nued) ^đ		
2799	1919	5.5	19000	
2799	1919	6.0	19000	
2799	1919	6.5	14000	
2799	1919	7.0	17000	
2799	1919	7.5	10000	

^aBorehole locations are shown in Figure 4-1.

^bThe variations in depths of boreholes and corresponding results given in this table are based on the boreholes penetrating the contamination or the drill reaching refusal.

^CInstrument used was 5.0- by 5.0-cm (2- by 2-in.) thallium-activated sodium iodide gamma scintillation detector.

d_{Bottom} of borehole collapsed.

TABLE 5-3

GAMMA RADIATION EXPOSURE RATE

Coord	<u>linates^a</u>	Rateb
East	North	(µR/h)
2758	1875	9
2758	1950	8
2785	1958	10
2790	1926	13
2793	1883	9
Interior o	of Residence	7

£.

FOR 6 HANCOCK STREET

^aMeasurement locations are shown in Figure 4-3.

^bMeasurements include background.

REFERENCES

- U.S. Department of Energy. <u>Description of the Formerly</u> <u>Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program</u>, ORO-777, Oak Ridge, Tenn., September 1980 (as modified by DOE in October 1983).
- 2. Argonne National Laboratory. <u>Action Description</u> <u>Memorandum, Interim Remedial Actions at Maywood,</u> <u>New Jersey</u>, Argonne, Ill., March 1987.
- 3. Argonne National Laboratory. <u>Action Description</u> <u>Memorandum, Proposed 1984 Remedial Actions at Maywood,</u> <u>New Jersey</u>, Argonne, Ill., June 8, 1984.
- Bechtel National, Inc. <u>Post-Remedial Action Report for</u> <u>the Lodi Residential Properties</u>, DOE/OR/20722-89, Oak Ridge, Tenn., August 1986.
- 5. NUS Corporation. <u>Radiological Study of Maywood</u> <u>Chemical, Maywood, New Jersey</u>, November 1983.
- 6. EG&G Energy Measurements Group. An Aerial Radiologic Survey of the Stepan Chemical Company and Surrounding Area, Maywood, New Jersey, NRC-8109, Oak Ridge, Tenn., September 1981.
- 7. Oak Ridge National Laboratory. <u>Results of the Mobile</u> <u>Gamma Scanning Activities in Lodi, New Jersey</u>, ORNL/RASA-84/3, Oak Ridge, Tenn., October 1984.
- 8. Oak Ridge National Laboratory. <u>Results of the</u> <u>Radiological Survey at 6 Hancock Street (LJ033), Lodi,</u> <u>New Jersey</u>, ORNL/RASA-88/53, Oak Ridge, Tenn., June 1989.

- 9. Thermo Analytical/Eberline. "Technical Review of FUSRAP Instrument Calibrations by Comparison to TMC Calibration Pads," May 1989.
- 10. <u>U.S. Code of Federal Regulations</u>. 40 CFR 192,"Health and Environmental Protection Standards for Uranium and Thorium Mill Tailings," Washington, D.C., July 1986.
- National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements. <u>Environmental Radiation Measurements</u>, NCRP Report No. 50, Washington, D.C., December 27, 1986.
- 12. Levin, S. G., R. K. Stoms, E. Kuerze, and W. Huskisson. "Summary of Natural Environmental Gamma Radiation Using a Calibrated Portable Scintillation Counter." <u>Radiological Health Data Report</u> 9:679-695 (1968).

APPENDIX A GEOLOGIC DRILL LOGS FOR 6 HANCOCK STREET

	G	EC	LOG		RILI	- LO	G	PROJE	CT]	FUSR	AP		J08 N	о. sн 1-138	EET NO.	HOLE NO. 536R
SITE		Ua	- oooli G	S+ (T)			COORDINA	TES							ANGLE F	ROM HORIZ	
3EGU			ncock S			·	<u> </u>			<u>N 1,8</u> . make	AND HO	E 2,76 Del	3 SIZE	OVERBURDE		tical K (FT.)	TOTAL DEPT
and the second se		6 1	1-6-86	5	MO		ENCH		Bå	S Lit	tle Be		4"	9.0	1	~ (////	9.0
CORE	REC	OVER'	r (FT./%) CORE	BOXES	SISAMPL	ESEL. TO	P CAS	ING	GROUND		DEPTH	/EL. GROL	IND WATER	DEPT	H/EL. TOP	OF ROCK
SAMP	LE H	/ Anhei	R WEIGHT	/FALL	CAS	ING LE	FT IN HOL	.E: D)	IA./L		3.5	BY:		·····		/	<u>NP</u>
-		1	N/A		JATER		NOI	NE						D. McC	RANE	<u> </u>	<u>r</u> +
SAND DIAN.	SAMP. ADU.	SAMPLE REC.	SAMPLE BLOWS "N" X CORE RECOVERY	BL M. 23.	PRESS. I.S. P	RE	ELEV. 43.5	H190	GRAPHICS	ABS				LASSIFI	CATION	WATER CHARAC	ON: LEVELS, RETURN, CTER OF ING, ETC.
								5.			(0.0-5.5 materia medium pieces o occasion in the fi (loose), 0.0-0.3 Numero 0.3-5.5	5) and i I. Colo 1-grain of round fround fround someti Ft. Mo pus grass Ft. Da	ed with fe led to ang bles) of vi rrial. Soft mes claye oderate bi as roots ar ark reddia	M). Fill s (6.5-9.0) d. Fine- to w to numer rular gravel arious lithol , unconsolic , unconso	ous (and ogies dated . Moist. 8/4). DR3/4).	0.0-9.0 solid-sta Site che radioact contami hole gar by TMA Corp.	e drilled Ft. using 4" em augers. cked for ive ination and nma-logged A-Eberline,
							34 .5_			Bot	with a f May be	lew. pai decom boreho	le green (8 posed san 	5G7/2) silty dstone.	zones.		indwater d.
			-														
			n .,												-	classific	tion and ation of soil by visual ation.
			POON; ST ; P = PI			σε,	ITE		6	Han	cock	St.	(LOD))	<u>\</u>	HOLE NO	

ŧ

ы - т антас

ð.

÷

:

.....

۰. . ₊

GEOLOGIC DR		ECT FUSRAP	JOB NO. SHEET NO 14501-138 1 OF	
SITE	COORDINATES		ANGLE FROM HO	
6 Hancock St. (LOI		N 1,884 E 2,776	Vertical	
3EGUN COMPLETED DRILLER 11-5-86 11-5-86 N	ORETRENCH	DRILL MAKE AND MODEL SIZE B&S Little Beaver 4"	OVERBURDEN ROCK (FT. 12.0	.) TOTAL DEPTH 12.0
CORE RECOVERY (FT./%) CORE B		SING GROUND EL. DEPTH/EL. GRO	UND WATER DEPTH/EL.	TOP OF ROCK
/	CASING LEFT IN HOLE:	43.4 10.0/33.4 DIA./LENGTH LOGGED BY:		_/
SAMPLE HAMMER WEIGHT/FALL	NONE	DIA./LENGIN LOGGED BY:	D. McGRANE	get
		15 1	CLASSIFICATION WAT WAT CHA DRI	ES ON: ER LEVELS, ER RETURN, RACTER OF LLING, ETC.
		0.0 - 12.0 Ft. Silty SANI (0.0-8.0) and indigenou material. Color stratifi- medium-grained with fi- pieces of rounded to an occasional cobbles) of v in the fill material. Sof (locee), sometimes clay; to saturated at 10.0 Ft. 5 0.0-0.3 Ft. Moderate b Numerous grass roots a 0.3-4.0 Ft. Mottled da (10R3/4), moderate br	av to numerous 0.0- gular gravel (and solid arious lithologies t, unconsolidated Site radii y (SC-OH). Moist radii coni hole prown (5YR3/4). by 7 rd organics. Cor	ehole drilled 12.0 Ft. using 4" d-stem augers. a checked for ioactive tamination and e gamma-logged TMA-Eberline, p.
	¥ 1 \$1.4_	(10R3/4), moderate bry yellowish brown (10YR 4.0-8.0 Ft. Dark reddi 8.0-12.0 Ft. Dark yello (10YR4/2). May be de Bottom of borehole at 12.0 Auger spoils were replaced	sh brown. owish brown composed sandstone. 10 l obs	Ft., groundwater erved.
			cia	scription and ssification of soil nples by visual amination.
SS = SPLIT SPOON; ST = SHELL D = DENNISON; P = PITCHER; (6 Hancock St. (LO		534R

ŧ.

GEO	LOGIC			ROJECT		T NO. HOLE NO.
SITE		DRILL	COORDINA	TES	FUSRAP 14501-138 1	
	acock St. ((LODI)	A CORDENA		<u>N 1,935 E 2,776</u> Verti	H HORIZBEARING
BEGUN CO	MPLETED DRI	ILLER		DRIL	MAKE AND NODEL SIZE OVERBURDEN ROCK	(FT.) TOTAL DEPTH
11-5-86 1			ETRENCH		S Little Beaver 4" 12.0	12.0
CORE RECOVER	((()) , ()	UKE BUXES	AMPLESEL. IOP	CASING	GROUND EL. DEPTH/EL. GROUND WATER DEPTH/ 43.5 ¥ 10.0/33.5 11/5/86	EL. TOP OF ROCK
SAMPLE HAMMER	R WEIGHT/FAL	L CASIN	G LEFT IN HOL	E: D1A./L	ENGTH LOGGED BY:	
· <u> </u>	N/A		NON	IE	D. McGRANE	
SAMP. DTAH. SAMP. ADU. LEN CORE SAMPLE REC. CORE REC.	SAMPLE BLOWS "N" X CORE RECOVERY LOSS			DEPTH GRAPHICS		NOTES ON: WATER LEVELS, WATER RETURN, CHARACTER OF
	표, 뜨 니		Σ 43.5	C		DRILLING, ETC.
			40.5_ 37.5_ 31.5_	5	 0.0 - 3.0 Ft. Silty SAND (SM). Fill material. Multi-colored. Fine- to medium-grained with few to numerous pieces of rounded to angular gravel of various lithologies. Occasional cobbles. Soft, unconsolidated (loose), moist. 0.0-0.3 Ft. Moderate brown (5YR3/4). Numerous grass roots and organics. 0.3-3.0 Ft. Dark reddish brown (10R3/4), and mottled moderate brown. 3.0 - 6.0 Ft. SAND. Coal ash fill. Black (N1). Fine- to coarse-grained. Very low density. Soft, unconsolidated (loose), moist. 6.0 - 12.0 Ft. Silty SAND (SM-SC). Undisturbed, natural material. Color stratified. Fine- to medium-grained. Soft, poorly consolidated (loose), moist. 6.0 - 12.0 Ft. Grayish black (N1). Numerous organics, clayey. May be stream sediments. 7.0-10.0 Ft. Moderate brown. A few pebbles and cobbles. May be buried upper soil horizon. 10.0-12.0 Ft. Dark yellowish brown (10YR4/2). May be decomposed sandstone. 	Borehole drilled 0.0-12.0 Ft. using 4" solid-stem augers. Site checked for radioactive contamination and hole gamma-logged by TMA-Eberline, Corp. 10 Ft., groundwater observed.
						Description and classification of soil samples by visual examination.
SS = SPLIT SF D = DENNISON;	POON; ST = S P = PITCHE		* }	6	Hancock St. (LODI)	HOLE NO. 532R

ş

.

GEOLOGIC DRILL LO	PROJECT	JOB NO. SHEET NO. HOLE NO.
SITE	COORDINATES	4501-138 1 OF 1 535R ANGLE FROM HORIZBEARING
6 Hancock St. (LODI)	N 1,870 E 2,795	Vertical
BEGUN COMPLETED DRILLER 11-5-86 11-5-86 MORETR	DRILL MAKE AND MODEL SIZE RENCH B&S Little Beaver 4"	OVERBURDEN ROCK (FT.) TOTAL DEPTH
CORE RECOVERY (FT./%) CORE BOXES SAMPL	ESEL. TOP CASING GROUND EL. DEPTH/EL. GR	9.0 9.0 OUND WATER DEPTH/EL. TOP OF ROCK
SAMPLE HAMMER WEIGHT/FALL CASING LE	43.5 43.5	//
N/A	NONE	D. MCGRANE 906
AMP JAN AMP JAN SAMP JAN SAMP JAN SAMP JAN LEN CORE SAMPLE REC. CORE REC. CORE REC. CORE REC. CORE REC. CORE REC. CORE REC. CORE REC. CORE REC. CORE REC. TINE TINE TINE TINE	ELEV.	CLASSIFICATION WATER LEVELS, WATER RETURN, CHARACTER OF DRILLING, ETC.
	43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5 43.5	DRILLING, ETC. (SM). Fill us (5.0-9.0) fied. Fine- to few to numerous ngular gravel (and various lithologies ft, unconsolidated vey (SC-OH). Moist. brown (5YR3/4). and organics. ish brown (10RS/4). brown. Clayey. May prison. Vert. DRILLING, ETC. Borehole drilled 0.0-9.0 Ft. using 4" solid-stem augers. Site checked for radioactive contamination and hole gamma-logged by TMA-Eberline, Corp. No groundwater observed
3S = SPLIT SPOON; ST = SHELBY TUBE; S) = DENNISON; P = PITCHER; O = OTHER	6 Hancock St. (LOI	DI) HOLE NO. 535R

¥

... ------

÷

		C			21/		DHI	10		PROJE	СТ	JOB NO. SHEET NO. HOLE NO.
			EU		311		RILI	<u> LO</u>	-			FUSRAP 14501-138 1 OF 1 530R
	SITE		Ha	acock	S		וזמר		COORDINA	TES		ANGLE FROM HORIZBEARING
	BEGU			MPLETE					1			N 1,962 E 2,798 Vertical
	11-	-5-8		1-5-8		1		RETR	ENCH			&S Little Beaver 4" 11.0 11.0
	CORE	REC	OVER	r (FT.	/%)	CORE	BOXES	SAMPL	ESEL. TO	P CAS	ING	GROUND EL. DEPTH/EL. GROUND WATER DEPTH/EL. TOP OF ROCK
	CAMO								-			43.9 1 /
	SAMP	15 1		RWEIG N/A	M17	FALL	CAS	ING LE	NOI NOI		IA./L	LENGTH LOGGED BY: D. MCGRANE
	יע .	•1			T	L	IATER	2	1101		T	D. McGRANE
.	SAMP. DIAM.	SAMP. ADU LEN CORE	SAMPLE REC.	SAMPLE BLOUS "N" % CORE	RECOVERY	PR	ESSU	RE	ELEU.	ОЕРТН	GRAPHICS	L DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION WATER LEVELS, WATER RETURN, CHARACTER OF DRILLING, ETC
									40.9_	5_		0.0 - 3.0 Ft. Silty SAND (SM). Fill material. Multi-colored. Fine- to medium-grained with few to numerous pieces of rounded to angular gravel of various lithologies. Occasional cobbles. Soft, unconsolidated (loose), moist. 0.0-0.3 Ft. Moderate brown (5YR3/4). Numerous grass roots and organics. 0.3-3.0 Ft. Dark reddish brown (10R3/4), and mottled dark yellowish brown
									36.9_	10.		(10YR4/2). 3.0 - 7.0 Ft. <u>SAND</u> . Coal ash fill. Black (N1). Fine- to coarse-grained. Very low density. Soft, unconsolidated (loose), moist. 5.0-6.0 Ft. A few sandstone cobbles and pieces of metal.
									32 .9_			 7.0 - 11.0 Ft. Silty SAND (SM-SC). Undisturbed, natural material. Color stratified. Fine- to medium-grained. Soft, poorly consolidated (loose), moist. 7.0-8.0 Ft. Grayish black (N1). Numerous organics, clayey. May be stream sediments. 8.0-11.0 Ft. Moderate brown. A few pebbles and cobbles. May be buried upper soil horizon. Bottom of borehole at 11.0 Ft. Auger spoils were replaced in the hole, 11/5/86.
												Description and classification of soil samples by visual examination.
				POON; : ; P =				/	ITE		6	Hancock St. (LODI) HOLE NO. 530R

\$

4.

•____

. -

GEOLOGIC DRILL LO	PROJECT	JOB NO. SHEET NO. HOLE NO.
	G FUSRAP	14501-138 1 OF 1 533R
6 Hancock St. (LODI)	N 1,908 E 2,799	ANGLE FROM HORIZBEARING Vertical
BEGUN COMPLETED DRILLER	DRILL MAKE AND MODEL SIZE	OVERBURDEN ROCK (FT.) TOTAL DEPTH
11-5-86 MORETR CORE RECOVERY (FT./%) CORE BOXES/SAMPLE	ENCH B&S Little Beaver 4"	
/	43.6 10.0/33.6 1	NO WATER DEPTH/EL. TOP OF ROCK
	T IN HOLE: DIA./LENGTH LOGGED BY:	
N/A		D. McGRANE
AND DIAM. SAMPLE ADU. SAMPLE REC. CORE REC. CORE REC. CORE REC. SAMPLE SAMPLE CORE REC. CORE CORE CORE CORE CORE CORE CORE CORE	ELEU. H DESCRIPTION AND CL	WATER RETURN, Character of Drilling, etc.
	43.6 0.0 - 12.0 Ft. Silty SAND ((0.0-8.0) and indigenous material. Color stratified medium-grained with few pieces of rounded to angu occasional cobble) of var in the fill material. Soft, (loose), sometimes clayey to saturated at 10.0 Ff. 0.0-0.3 Ft. Moderate bro numerous grains of black sand and gravel (coal san 8.0-9.0 Ft. Moderate bro pebbles. May be buried u 9.0-12.0 Ft. Dark yellow (10YR4/2). May be deco 31.6 Bottom of borehole at 12.0 F Auger spoils were replaced in	SM). Fill Borehole drilled (8.0-12.0) Fine- to . Fine- to 0.0-12.0 Ft. using 4" lar gravel (and 0.0-12.0 Ft. using 4" ious lithologies solid-stem augers. unconsolidated Site checked for (SC-OH). Moist Site checked for wn (5YR3/4). by TMA-Eberline, organics. Corp. wn mottled with (N1) carbonaceous). ish brown mposed sandstone. 10 Ft., groundwater 't. 't.
SS = SPLIT SPOON; ST = SHELBY TUBE; SI D = DENNISON; P = PITCHER; O = OTHER	6 Hancock St. (LODI) HOLE NO. 533R

		EC		JGI	CD	RIL	L LC	_		CT	FUSRAP	JOB NO. 14501-		ET NO. OF 1	HOLE NO. 531R
SITE		н.	800	cł 9	St. (L	ΩΠΙ		COORDIN	IATES	1	1 0 1 0 E 2 700	LA .		M HORIZ	
BEGL		_			DRILI						N 1,919 E 2,799 MAKE AND MODEL SIZE	E OVERBURDEN	Vert	(FT.)	TOTAL DEPI
	-5-8							ENCH		B&		4" 9.0			9.0
CORE	E REC	OVER	Y (F	T./X) CORE	BOXE	SISAMPL	ESEL. T	OP CAS	SING (GROUND WATER	DEPTH	EL. TOP	OF ROCK
SAME	PLE H	AMME	RWE	IGHT	/FALL	CAS	I	FT IN HO	DLE: D	IA./LE	43.7 1 / 1			/	
			N//	1				NO	NE			D. McGR.	ANE	9	et .
]	SAMP. GAMP. SAMP.								T	5					
SAMP . TY	SAMP. AD	SAMPLE REC	SAMPLE BLOUS "1	X CORE RECOVER	LOSS IN A.P.M	TESTS		ELEV.	DEPTH	GRAPHICS EXURT	DESCRIPTION AN	ID CLASSIFICA	TION	WATER CHARAC	ON: LEVELS, RETURN, CTER OF ING, ETC
								39.7 38.7 34.7	5		 0.0 - 4.0 Ft. Silty SAP material. Multi-cold medium-grained witi- pieces of rounded to various lithologies. (Soft, unconsolidated 0.0-0.3 Ft. Moderai Numerous grass root 0.3-4.0 Ft. Dark re- and mottled dark ye (10YR4/2). 4.0 - 5.0 Ft. SAND. C Black (N1). Fine- tt low density. Soft, u moist. 5.0 - 9.0 Ft. Silty SAP Undisturbed, nature stratified. Fine- to Soft, poorly consolid 5.0-6.0 Ft. Grayish organics, clayey. M 6.0-8.0 Ft. Moderai and cobbles. May b horison. 8.0-9.0 Ft. Dark ye (10YR4/2). May be Bottom of borehole at 1 Auger spoils were repla 11/5/86. 	th few to numerous o angular gravel of Occasional cobbles. d (loose), moist. te brown (5YR3/4) ts and organics. eddish brown (10R3 ellowish brown Coal ash fill. o coarse-grained. unconsolidated (loose) ND (SM-SC). al material. Color medium-grained. dated (loose), moist h black (N1). Numi lay be stream sedim te brown. A few pose buried upper soil ellowish brown e decomposed sand 9.0 Ft.). Very se), t. erous nents. ebbles	0.0-9.0 solid-sta radioact contami hole gar by TMA Corp.	nation and nma-logged A-Eberline, ndwater
														classific	tion and ation of soil by visual ation.
					= SHE	LBY TL 0 = 0		ITE			lancock St. (L(\ \	HOLE NO	31R