

M-065
063982 01

Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program (FUSRAP)

ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD

for Maywood, New Jersey



U.S. Department of Energy

063982

M-065

Bechtel National, Inc.

Systems Engineers — Constructors

Jackson Plaza Tower
800 Oak Ridge Turnpike
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830

Mail Address: P.O. Box 350, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-0350
Telex: 3785273



SEP 29 1989

U.S. Department of Energy
Oak Ridge Operations
Post Office Box 2001
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831-8723

Attention: Robert G. Atkin
Technical Services Division

Subject: Bechtel Job No. 14501, FUSRAP Project
DOE Contract No. DE-AC05-81OR20722
Publication of Radiological Characterization Report
for seventeen residential properties, four municipal
properties, and seven commercial properties in
Lodi and Maywood, New Jersey
Code: 7315/WBS: 138

Dear Mr. Atkin:

Enclosed is one copy each of the 28 subject published reports for the properties listed in Attachment 1. These reports incorporate all comments received in this review cycle (CCNs 063165, 063327, 062285, and 061568) and are being published with approval of Steve Oldham, as reported in CCN 063868.

Also enclosed (as Attachment 2) is a proposed distribution list for these reports. Please send us any changes to the proposed distribution list at your earliest convenience so we may distribute the reports.

BNI would like to express our thanks to Mr. Oldham for his cooperation and efforts to review these drafts in an accelerated manner. His efforts have allowed us to publish these reports on schedule. If you have any questions about these documents, please call me at 576-4718.

Very truly yours,

R. C. Robertson
Project Manager - FUSRAP

RCR:wfs:1756x
Enclosure: As stated

cc: J. D. Berger, ORAU (w/e)
N. J. Beskid, ANL (w/e)

CONCURRENCE

063	741-			
-----	------	--	--	--

063982-e

DOE/OR/20722-233

7M-065

Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program (FUSRAP)
Contract No. DE-AC05-81OR20722

**RADIOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION
REPORT FOR THE RESIDENTIAL
PROPERTY AT 2 BRANCA COURT**

Lodi, New Jersey

September 1989



Bechtel National, Inc.

**RADIOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION REPORT
FOR THE RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY AT
2 BRANCA COURT
LODI, NEW JERSEY**

SEPTEMBER 1989

Prepared for

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
OAK RIDGE OPERATIONS OFFICE
Under Contract No. DE-AC05-81OR20722**

By

**N. C. Ring, D. J. Whiting, and W. F. Stanley
Bechtel National, Inc.
Oak Ridge, Tennessee
Bechtel Job No. 14501**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
List of Figures	iv
List of Tables	iv
Abbreviations	v
1.0 Introduction and Summary	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Purpose	3
1.3 Summary	3
1.4 Conclusions	5
2.0 Site History	7
2.1 Previous Radiological Surveys	8
2.2 Remedial Action Guidelines	9
3.0 Health and Safety Plan	12
3.1 Subcontractor Training	12
3.2 Safety Requirements	12
4.0 Characterization Procedures	14
4.1 Field Radiological Characterization	14
4.1.1 Measurements Taken and Methods Used	14
4.1.2 Sample Collection and Analysis	17
4.2 Building Radiological Characterization	19
5.0 Characterization Results	22
5.1 Field Radiological Characterization	22
5.2 Building Radiological Characterization	26
References	35
Appendix A - Geologic Drill Logs for 2 Branca Court	A-1

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
1-1	Location of Lodi Vicinity Properties	2
1-2	Location of 2 Branca Court	4
4-1	Borehole Locations at 2 Branca Court	16
4-2	Surface and Subsurface Soil Sampling Locations at 2 Branca Court	18
4-3	Gamma Exposure Rate Measurement Locations at 2 Branca Court	21
5-1	Areas of Subsurface Contamination at 2 Branca Court	25

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
2-1	Summary of Residual Contamination Guidelines for the Lodi Vicinity Properties	10
5-1	Surface and Subsurface Radionuclide Concentrations in Soil for 2 Branca Court	28
5-2	Downhole Gamma Logging Results for 2 Branca Court	29
5-3	Gamma Radiation Exposure Rates for 2 Branca Court	34

ABBREVIATIONS

cm	centimeter
cm ²	square centimeter
cpm	counts per minute
dpm	disintegrations per minute
ft	foot
h	hour
in.	inch
km ²	square kilometer
L	liter
L/min	liters per minute
m	meter
m ²	square meter
MeV	million electron volts
μR/h	microroentgens per hour
mi	mile
mi ²	square mile
min	minute
mrad/h	millirad per hour
mrem	millirem
mrem/yr	millirem per year
pCi/g	picocuries per gram
pCi/L	picocuries per liter
WL	working level
yd	yard
yd ³	cubic yard

1.0 INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

This section provides a brief description of the history and background of the Maywood site and its vicinity properties. Data obtained from the radiological characterization of this vicinity property are also presented.

1.1 INTRODUCTION

The 1984 Energy and Water Appropriations Act authorized the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) to conduct a decontamination research and development project at four sites, including the site of the former Maywood Chemical Works (now owned by the Stepan Company) and its vicinity properties. The work is being administered under the Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program (FUSRAP) under the direction of the DOE Division of Facility and Site Decommissioning Projects. Several residential, commercial, and municipal properties in Lodi, New Jersey, are included in FUSRAP as vicinity properties. Figure 1-1 shows the location of the Lodi vicinity properties in relation to the former Maywood Chemical Works.

The U.S. Government initiated FUSRAP in 1974 to identify, clean up, or otherwise control sites where low-activity radioactive contamination (exceeding current guidelines) remains from the early years of the nation's atomic energy program or from commercial operations that resulted in conditions Congress has mandated that DOE remedy (Ref. 1).

FUSRAP is currently being managed by DOE Oak Ridge Operations. As the Project Management Contractor for FUSRAP, Bechtel National, Inc. (BNI) is responsible to DOE for planning, managing, and implementing FUSRAP.




FIGURE 1-1 LOCATION OF LODI VICINITY PROPERTIES

1.2 PURPOSE

The purpose of the 1986 survey performed by BNI was to locate the horizontal and vertical boundaries of radionuclide concentrations exceeding remedial action guidelines.

1.3 SUMMARY

This report details the procedures and results of the radiological characterization of the property at 2 Branca Court (Figure 1-2) in Lodi, New Jersey, which was conducted in October and December 1986.

Ultimately, the data generated during the radiological characterization will be used to define the complete scope of remedial action necessary to release the site.

This characterization confirmed that thorium-232 is the primary radioactive contaminant at this property. Results of surface soil samples for 2 Branca Court showed maximum concentrations of thorium-232 and radium-226 to be 2.4 and 1.1 pCi/g, respectively. The maximum concentration of uranium-238 in surface soil samples was less than 7.7 pCi/g.

Subsurface soil sample concentrations ranged from 0.9 to 13.9 pCi/g for thorium-232 and from 0.6 to less than 1.5 pCi/g for radium-226. The average background level in this area for both radium-226 and thorium-232 is 1.0 pCi/g. The concentrations of uranium-238 in subsurface soil samples ranged from less than 4.8 to less than 9.3 pCi/g. Because the major contaminants at the vicinity properties are thorium and radium, the decontamination guidelines provide the appropriate guidance for the cleanup activities. DOE believes that these guidelines are conservative for




FIGURE 1-2 LOCATION OF 2 BRANCA COURT

considering potential adverse health effects that might occur in the future from any residual contamination. The dose contributions from uranium and any other radionuclides not numerically specified in these guidelines are not expected to be significant following decontamination. In addition, the vicinity properties will be decontaminated in a manner so as to reduce future doses to levels that are as low as reasonably achievable (ALARA) (Ref. 2).

Soil analysis data for this property did not indicate surface contamination. Subsurface investigation by gamma logging indicated contamination to a depth of 1.98 m (6.5 ft).

Exterior gamma radiation exposure rates ranged from 8 to 9 $\mu\text{R}/\text{h}$, including background. The indoor measurement showed a rate of 4 $\mu\text{R}/\text{h}$, including background.

The radon-222 measurement inside the residence indicated a concentration of less than 0.8 pCi/L, which is within the DOE guideline of 3.0 pCi/L.

Measurements for radon daughters were both 0.002 working level (WL), and measurements for thoron daughters ranged from less than the lower limit of detection to 0.003 WL.

All data tables for this property appear at the end of this report.

1.4 CONCLUSIONS

Evaluation of data collected, analyses performed, and historical documentation reviewed indicates the presence of radiological contamination on the property located at 2 Branca Court. This contamination is primarily subsurface contamination ranging from a depth of 1.22 m (4.0 ft) to

1.98 m (6.5 ft). In addition, the contamination appears to extend beneath the residence structure as well as into the street in front of the residence. The total affected area is estimated to be approximately 60 percent of the property. These conclusions are supported by documentation that establishes the presence of the former channel of Lodi Brook in this area. This channel is the suspected transport mechanism for the radiological contamination.

2.0 SITE HISTORY

The Maywood Chemical Works was founded in 1895. The company began processing thorium from monazite sand in 1916 (during World War I) for use in manufacturing gas mantles for various lighting devices. The company continued this work until 1956. Process wastes from manufacturing operations were pumped to two areas surrounded by earthen dikes on property west of the plant. Subsequently, some of the contaminated wastes migrated onto adjacent and vicinity properties.

In 1928 and again between 1944 and 1946, some of the residues from the processing operations were moved from the company's property and used as mulch and fill in nearby low-lying areas. The fill material consisted of tea and coca leaves mixed with other material resulting from operations at the plant. Some fill material apparently contained thorium process wastes (Ref. 3).

Uncertainty exists as to how the properties in Lodi were contaminated. According to an area resident, fill from an unknown source was brought to Lodi and spread over large portions of the previously low-lying and swampy area. For several reasons, however, a more plausible explanation is that the contamination migrated along a drainage ditch originating on the Maywood Chemical Works property. First, it can be seen from photographs and tax maps of the area that the course of a previously existing stream known as Lodi Brook, which originated at the former Maywood Chemical Works, generally coincides with the path of contamination in Lodi. The brook was subsequently replaced by a storm drain system as the area was developed. Second, samples taken from Lodi properties indicate elevated concentrations of a series of elements known as rare earths. Rare earth elements are

typically found in monazite sands, which also contain thorium. This type of sand was feedstock at the Maywood Chemical Works, and elevated levels are known to exist in the by-product of the extraction process. Third, the ratio of thorium to other radionuclides found on these Lodi properties is comparable to the ratio found in contaminated material on other properties in Lodi (Ref. 4). And finally, long-time residents of Lodi recalled chemical odors in and around the brook in Lodi and steam rising off the water. These observations suggest that discharges of contaminants occurred upstream.

The Stepan Chemical Company (now called the Stepan Company) purchased Maywood Chemical Works in 1959. The Stepan Company itself has never been involved in the manufacture or processing of any radioactive materials (Ref. 5).

2.1 PREVIOUS RADIOLOGICAL SURVEYS

Numerous surveys of the Maywood site and its vicinity properties have been conducted. Among the past surveys, three that are pertinent to this vicinity property are detailed in this section.

January 1981--The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) directed that a survey be conducted of the Stepan Company property and its vicinity properties in January 1981. Using the Stepan Company plant as the center, a 10.3-km² (4-mi²) aerial survey was conducted by the EG&G Energy Measurements Group, which identified anomalous concentrations of thorium-232 to the north and south of the Stepan Company property. The Lodi vicinity properties were included in this survey (Ref. 6).

June 1984--In June 1984, Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) conducted a "drive-by" survey of Lodi using its "scanning van." Although not comprehensive, the survey indicated areas requiring further investigation (Ref. 7).

September 1986--At the request of DOE, ORNL conducted radiological surveys of the vicinity properties in Lodi in September 1986 to determine which properties contained radioactive contamination in excess of DOE guidelines and would, therefore, require remedial action (Ref. 8).

2.2 REMEDIAL ACTION GUIDELINES

Table 2-1 summarizes the DOE guidelines for residual contamination. The thorium-232 and radium-226 limits listed in Table 2-1 will be used to determine the extent of remedial action required at the vicinity properties. DOE developed these guidelines to be consistent with the guidelines established by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for the Uranium Mill Tailings Remedial Action Program.

**TABLE 2-1
SUMMARY OF RESIDUAL CONTAMINATION GUIDELINES**

BASIC DOSE LIMITS

The basic limit for the annual radiation dose received by an individual member of the general public is 100 mrem/yr.

SOIL GUIDELINES

<u>Radionuclide</u>	<u>Soil Concentration (pCi/g) Above Background^{a,b,c}</u>
Radium-226 Radium-228 Thorium-230 Thorium-232	5 pCi/g when averaged over the first 15 cm of soil below the surface; 15 pCi/g when averaged over any 15-cm-thick soil layer below the surface layer.
Other Radionuclides	Soil guidelines will be calculated on a site-specific basis using the DOE manual developed for this use.

STRUCTURE GUIDELINES

Airborne Radon Decay Products

Generic guidelines for concentrations of airborne radon decay products shall apply to existing occupied or habitable structures on private property that has no radiological restrictions on its use; structures that will be demolished or buried are excluded. The applicable generic guideline (40 CFR 192) is: In any occupied or habitable building, the objective of remedial action shall be, and reasonable effort shall be made to achieve, an annual average (or equivalent) radon decay product concentration (including background) not to exceed 0.02 WL^d. In any case, the radon decay product concentration (including background) shall not exceed 0.03 WL. Remedial actions are not required in order to comply with this guideline when there is reasonable assurance that residual radioactive materials are not the cause.

External Gamma Radiation

The average level of gamma radiation inside a building or habitable structure on a site that has no radiological restrictions on its use shall not exceed the background level by more than 20 μ R/h.

Indoor/Outdoor Structure Surface Contamination

<u>Radionuclide^f</u>	<u>Allowable Surface Residual Contamination^g (dpm/100 cm²)</u>		
	<u>Average^{g,h}</u>	<u>Maximum^{h,i}</u>	<u>Removable^{h,j}</u>
Transuranics, Ra-226, Ra-228, Th-230, Th-228 Pa-231, Ac-227, I-125, I-129	100	300	20
Th-Natural, Th-232, Sr-90, Ra-223, Ra-224 U-232, I-126, I-131, I-133	1,000	3,000	200
U-Natural, U-235, U-238, and associated decay products	5,000 α	15,000 α	1,000 α
Beta-gamma emitters (radionuclides with decay modes other than alpha emission or spontaneous fission) except Sr-90 and others noted above	5,000 β - γ	15,000 β - γ	1,000 β - γ

**TABLE 2-1
(CONTINUED)**

^aThese guidelines take into account ingrowth of radium-226 from thorium-230 and of radium-228 from thorium-232, and assume secular equilibrium. If either thorium-230 and radium-226 or thorium-232 and radium-228 are both present, not in secular equilibrium, the guidelines apply to the higher concentration. If other mixtures of radionuclides occur, the concentrations of individual radionuclides shall be reduced so that 1) the dose for the mixtures will not exceed the basic dose limit, or 2) the sum of ratios of the soil concentration of each radionuclide to the allowable limit for that radionuclide will not exceed 1 ("unity").

^bThese guidelines represent allowable residual concentrations above background averaged across any 15-cm-thick layer to any depth and over any contiguous 100-m² surface area.

^cLocalized concentrations in excess of these limits are allowable, provided that the average concentration over a 100-m² area does not exceed these limits. In addition, every reasonable effort shall be made to remove any source of radionuclide that exceeds 30 times the appropriate soil limit, regardless of the average concentration in the soil.

^dA working level (WL) is any combination of short-lived radon decay products in 1 liter of air that will result in the ultimate emission of 1.3×10^5 MeV of potential alpha energy.

^eAs used in this table, dpm (disintegrations per minute) means the rate of emission by radioactive material as determined by correcting the counts per minute observed by an appropriate detector for background, efficiency, and geometric factors associated with the instrumentation.

^fWhere surface contamination by both alpha- and beta-gamma-emitting radionuclides exists, the limits established for alpha- and beta-gamma-emitting radionuclides should apply independently.

^gMeasurements of average contamination should not be averaged over more than 1 m². For objects of less surface area, the average shall be derived for each such object.

^hThe average and maximum radiation levels associated with surface contamination resulting from beta-gamma emitters should not exceed 0.2 mrad/h and 1.0 mrad/h, respectively, at 1 cm.

ⁱThe maximum contamination level applies to an area of not more than 100 cm².

^jThe amount of removable radioactive material per 100 cm² of surface area should be determined by wiping that area with dry filter or soft absorbent paper, applying moderate pressure, and measuring the amount of radioactive material on the wipe with an appropriate instrument of known efficiency. When removable contamination on objects of surface area less than 100 cm² is determined, the activity per unit area should be based on the actual area and the entire surface should be wiped. The numbers in this column are maximum amounts.

3.0 HEALTH AND SAFETY PLAN

BNI is responsible for protecting the health of personnel assigned to work at the site. As such, all subcontractors and their personnel were required to comply with the provisions of BNI health and safety requirements and as directed by the on-site BNI Health and Safety Officer.

3.1 SUBCONTRACTOR TRAINING

Before the start of work, all subcontractor personnel attended an orientation session presented by the BNI Health and Safety Officer to explain the nature of the material to be encountered in the work and the personnel monitoring and safety measures that are required.

3.2 SAFETY REQUIREMENTS

Subcontractor personnel complied with the following BNI requirements:

- o Bioassay--Subcontractor personnel submitted bioassay samples before or at the beginning of on-site activity, upon completion of the activity, and periodically during site activities as requested by BNI.
- o Protective Clothing/Equipment--Subcontractor personnel were required to wear the protective clothing/equipment specified in the subcontract or as directed by the BNI Health and Safety Officer.
- o Dosimetry--Subcontractor personnel were required to wear and return daily the dosimeters and monitors issued by BNI.
- o Controlled Area Access/Egress--Subcontractor personnel and equipment entering areas where access and egress were controlled for radiation and/or chemical safety purposes were surveyed by the BNI Health and Safety Officer (or personnel representing BNI) for contamination before leaving those areas.

- o **Medical Surveillance--Upon written direction from BNI, subcontractor personnel who work in areas where hazardous chemicals might exist were given a baseline and periodic health assessment defined in BNI's Medical Surveillance Program.**

Radiation and/or chemical safety surveillance of all activities related to the scope of work was under the direct supervision of personnel representing BNI.

Health and safety-related requirements for all activities involving exposure to radiation, radioactive material, chemicals, and/or chemically contaminated materials and other associated industrial safety hazards are generated in compliance with applicable regulatory requirements and industry-wide standards. Copies of these requirements are located at the BNI project office for use by project personnel.

4.0 CHARACTERIZATION PROCEDURES

A master grid was established by the surveyor. BNI's radiological support subcontractor, Thermo Analytical/Eberline (TMA/E), established a grid on individual properties. The size of the grid blocks was adjusted to characterize each property adequately. The grid origin allows the grid to be reestablished during remedial action and is correlated with the New Jersey state grid system. All data correspond to coordinates on the characterization grid. The grid with the east and north coordinates is shown on all figures included in Sections 4.0 and 5.0 of this report.

4.1 FIELD RADIOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION

This section provides a description of the instrumentation and methodologies used to obtain exterior surface and subsurface measurements during radiological characterization of this project.

4.1.1 Measurements Taken and Methods Used

An initial walkover survey was performed using an unshielded gamma scintillation detector [5.0- by 5.0-cm (2- by 2-in.) thallium-activated sodium iodide probe] to identify areas of elevated radionuclide activity. Near-surface gamma measurements taken using a cone-shielded gamma scintillation detector were also used to determine areas of surface contamination. The shielded detector ensured that the majority of the radiation detected by the instrument originated from the ground directly beneath the unit. Shielding against lateral gamma flux, or shine, from nearby areas of contamination minimized potential sources of error in the measurements. The measurements were taken 30.4 cm (12 in.) above the ground at the intersections of

3.0-m (10-ft) grid lines. The shielded detector was calibrated at the Technical Measurements Center (TMC) in Grand Junction, Colorado, to provide a correlation of counts per minute (cpm) to picocuries per gram (pCi/g). This calibration demonstrated that approximately 11,000 cpm corresponds to the DOE guideline of 5 pCi/g plus local average background of 1 pCi/g for thorium-232 in surface soils (Ref. 9).

A subsurface investigation was conducted to determine the depth to which the previously identified surface contamination extended and to locate subsurface contamination where there was no surface manifestation. The subsurface characterization consisted of drilling nine boreholes (Figure 4-1) [using either a 7.6-cm- (3-in.-) or 15.2-cm- (6-in.) diameter auger bit] and gamma logging them. The boreholes were drilled to depths determined in the field by the radiological and geological support representatives.

The downhole gamma logging technique was used because the procedure can be accomplished in less time than collecting soil samples, and the need for analyzing these samples in a laboratory is eliminated. A 5.0- by 5.0-cm (2- by 2-in.) sodium iodide gamma scintillation detector was used to perform the downhole logging. The instrument was calibrated at TMC where it was determined that a count rate of approximately 40,000 cpm corresponds to the 15-pCi/g subsurface contamination guideline for thorium-232. This relationship has also been corroborated by results from previous characterizations where thorium-232 was found (Ref. 9).

Gamma radiation measurements were taken at 15.2-cm (6-in.) vertical intervals to determine the depth and concentration of the contamination. The gamma-logging data were reviewed




FIGURE 4-1 BOREHOLE LOCATIONS AT 2 BRANCA COURT

to identify trends, whether or not concentrations exceeded the guidelines.

4.1.2 Sample Collection and Analysis

To identify surface areas where the level of contamination exceeded the DOE guideline of 5 pCi/g for thorium-232, areas with measurements of more than 11,000 cpm were plotted. Using these data as well as data from previous surveys (Refs. 5, 6, 7, and 8), the locations of biased surface soil samples were selected to better define the limits of contamination. Surface soil samples were taken at nine locations (Figure 4-2) and analyzed for thorium-232, uranium-238, and radium-226. Each sample was dried, pulverized, and counted for 10 min using an intrinsic germanium detector housed in a lead counting cave lined with cadmium and copper. The pulse height distribution was sorted using a computer-based, multichannel analyzer. Radionuclide concentrations were determined by comparing the gamma spectrum of each sample with the spectrum of a certified counting standard for the radionuclide of interest.

Subsurface soil samples were collected from nine locations (Figure 4-2) using the side-wall sampling method and were analyzed to compare laboratory soil sample results to downhole gamma radiation measurements. A cup or can attached to a steel pipe or wooden stake was inserted into the borehole and used to scrape samples off the side of the borehole at a specified depth. The subsurface soil samples were analyzed for radium-226, uranium-238, and thorium-232 in the same manner as the surface soil samples.




FIGURE 4-2 SURFACE AND SUBSURFACE SOIL SAMPLING LOCATIONS AT 2 BRANCA COURT

4.2 BUILDING RADIOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION

After evaluating previous radiological survey data as well as data from this characterization, it was suspected that contamination might be present under the foundation of the residence. A radon measurement was obtained to verify the presence of contaminated material under the residence and to estimate potential occupational exposures during future remedial actions.

Indoor radon measurements were made using the Tedlar bag method. Samples were collected by pumping air into a Tedlar bag at a rate of approximately 2 L/min. The air sample was transferred directly into a scintillation cell with an interior coating of zinc sulfide and an end window for viewing the scintillations. Analysis of the sample was simplified by allowing the radon decay products to build up over time. This method allowed all the radon decay products to come into secular equilibrium with the radon. The scintillation cell was placed in contact with a photomultiplier tube, and the scintillations were counted using standard nuclear counting instrumentation.

Indoor air samples were collected to determine a WL for radon and thoron daughters. To measure radon daughters, an air sample was collected for exactly 5 min through a 0.45-micron filter at a rate of 11 L/min for a total sample volume of 55 L. Alpha particle activity on the filter paper was counted from 40 to 90 min after sampling. An alpha scintillation detector coupled to a count-rate meter or digital scaler was used. Measurements for thoron daughters were made using the same method as for radon daughter with the exception of the time between collection of the air sample and counting of the alpha particle activity. In the case of thoron daughters, the sample was allowed to age for

at least 5 h after sampling before alpha activity was counted. This elapsed time allowed radon daughters, which may have been present with the thoron daughters, to decay sufficiently so as not to interfere in calculating the WL for thoron daughters.

Exterior gamma exposure rate measurements were made at four locations throughout the property grid system and at one location inside the residence. To obtain these measurements, either a 5.0- by 5.0-cm (2- by 2-in.) thallium-activated sodium iodide gamma scintillation detector designed to detect gamma radiation only or a pressurized ionization chamber (PIC) was used. Measurement locations are shown in Figure 4-3. The PIC instrument has a response to gamma radiation that is proportional to exposure in roentgens. A conversion factor for gamma scintillation to the PIC was established through a correlation of these two measurements at four locations in the vicinity of the property. The unshielded gamma scintillation detector readings were then used to estimate gamma exposure rates for each location. These measurements were taken 1 m (3 ft) above the ground. The locations were determined to be representative of the entire property. Interior measurements are generally obtained with the gamma scintillation instrument rather than the PIC because of its smaller size and the desire to minimize the technician's time inside the residence.




FIGURE 4-3 GAMMA EXPOSURE RATE MEASUREMENT LOCATIONS AT 2 BRANCA COURT

5.0 CHARACTERIZATION RESULTS

Radiological characterization results are presented in this section. The data included represent exterior surface and subsurface radiation measurements and interior radiation measurements.

5.1 FIELD RADIOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION

Near-surface gamma radiation measurements on the property ranged from 3,000 cpm to approximately 5,000 cpm. The average background level for this area is 5,000 cpm. A measurement of 11,000 cpm is approximately equal to the DOE guideline for thorium-232 of 5 pCi/g above background for surface soil contamination. Using this correlation, the near-surface gamma measurements were used to determine the extent of surface contamination and the basis for selecting the locations of soil samples. No areas of surface contamination were indicated by near-surface gamma measurements.

Surface soil samples [depths from 0.0 to 15.2 cm (0.5 in.)] were taken at eight locations on the property and one location in the street immediately adjacent to the property (Figure 4-2). These samples were analyzed for thorium-232, uranium-238, and radium-226. The concentrations in these samples ranged from less than 2.1 to less than 7.7 pCi/g for uranium-238, from less than 0.9 to 2.4 pCi/g for thorium-232, and from 0.4 to 1.1 pCi/g for radium-226. Analytical results for surface soils are provided in Table 5-1; these data showed that concentrations of thorium-232 do not exceed DOE guidelines (5 pCi/g plus background of 1 pCi/g for surface soils) with a maximum concentration of 2.4 pCi/g. Use of the "less than" (<) notation in reporting results indicates that the radionuclide was not present in concentrations that are

quantitative with the instruments and techniques used. The "less than" value represents the lower bound of the quantitative capacity of the instrument and technique used. The "less than" value is based on various factors, including the volume, size, and weight of the sample; the type of detector used; the counting time; and the background count rate. The actual concentration of the radionuclide is less than the value indicated. In addition, since radioactive decay is a random process, a correlation between the rate of disintegration and a given radionuclide concentration cannot be precisely established. For this reason, the exact concentration of the radionuclide cannot be determined. As such, each value that can be quantitatively determined has an associated uncertainty term (\pm), which represents the amount by which the actual concentration can be expected to differ from the value given in the table. The uncertainty term has an associated confidence level of 95 percent.

Thorium-232, the primary contaminant at the site, is the radionuclide most likely to exceed a specific DOE guideline in soil. Parameters for soil sample analysis were selected to ensure that the thorium-232 would be detected and measured at concentrations well below the lower guideline value of 5 pCi/g in excess of background level. Radionuclides of the uranium series, specifically uranium-238 and radium-226, are also potential contaminants but at lower concentrations than thorium-232. Therefore, these radionuclides (considered secondary contaminants) would not be present in concentrations in excess of guidelines unless thorium-232 was also present in concentrations in excess of its guideline level. Parameters selected for the thorium-232 analyses also provide detection sensitivities for uranium-238 and radium-226 that demonstrate that concentrations of these radionuclides are below guidelines. However, because of the relatively low gamma photon abundance of uranium-238, many of

the uranium-238 concentrations were below the detection sensitivity of the analytical procedure; these concentrations are reported in the data tables as "less than" values. To obtain more sensitive readings for the uranium-238 radionuclide with these analytical methods, much longer instrument counting times would be required than were necessary for analysis of thorium-232, the primary contaminant.

Analytical results for subsurface soil samples are given in Table 5-1, and gamma logging data are given in Table 5-2. The results in Table 5-2 showed a range from 8,000 cpm to 106,000 cpm. A measurement of 40,000 cpm is approximately equal to the DOE guideline for subsurface contamination of 15 pCi/g. Analyses of subsurface soil samples [taken at depths from 15.2 to 30.4 cm (0.5 to 1.0 ft)] indicated uranium-238 concentrations ranging from less than 4.8 to less than 9.3 pCi/g, thorium-232 concentrations ranging from 0.9 to 13.9 pCi/g, and radium-226 concentrations ranging from 0.6 to less than 1.5 pCi/g.

On the basis of near-surface gamma radiation measurements, surface and subsurface soil sample analyses, and downhole gamma logging, contamination on this property is believed to consist primarily of subsurface contamination at depths ranging from 1.22 m (4.0 ft) to 1.98 m (6.5 ft). The areas of subsurface contamination are shown in Figure 5-1. The subsurface contamination appears to extend beneath the residence as well as into the street in front of the property.

It is apparent from review of historical documentation (e.g., aerial photographs of the area, interviews with local residents, and previous radiological surveys) that the




FIGURE 5-1 AREAS OF SUBSURFACE CONTAMINATION AT 2 BRANCA COURT

subsurface contamination on this property lies along the former channel of Lodi Brook and its associated floodplain. The contamination on this property is similar to contamination found on residential properties in close proximity to this property. It has been established that the Lodi Brook channel through these neighboring properties once occupied locations connecting to those where stream sediments were found at 2 Branca Court. Thus, the elevated gamma readings shown on gamma logs from boreholes drilled on this property serve as further indication of the suspected mechanism of transport for radiological contamination (i.e., stream deposition from Lodi Brook).

The vertical and horizontal limits of contamination as determined by this characterization effort are being evaluated to determine the volume of contaminated material that will require remedial action. To develop this estimate, BNI will consider the location of the contamination, construction techniques, and safety procedures.

5.2 BUILDING RADIOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION

Results of an indoor radon measurement using the Tedlar bag method indicated a concentration of less than 0.8 pCi/L. This measurement was substantially less than the applicable DOE guideline of 3.0 pCi/L above background (Ref. 10).

Results of two measurements for radon daughters were both 0.002 WL. These results were substantially less than the applicable generic guideline detailed in the Code of Federal Regulations, 40 CFR 192 (Ref. 10), which states that an annual average (or equivalent) radon decay product concentration not exceed 0.02 WL.

Results of measurements for thoron daughters ranged from 0.002 to 0.003 WL. The generic guideline is more restrictive for radon-222 (radon) than for radon-220 (thoron) according to the National Council on Radiological Protection [see NCRP Report No. 50 (Ref. 11), which was used as the guideline for thoron daughter measurements].

Exterior gamma radiation exposure rate measurements ranged from 8 to 9 $\mu\text{R}/\text{h}$, including background. The indoor exposure rate measurement was 4 $\mu\text{R}/\text{h}$, including background. These results can be found in Table 5-3. These measurements are consistent with the average background exposure rate of 9 $\mu\text{R}/\text{h}$ (Ref 12). For comparison, the DOE guideline for indoor exposure rate is 20 $\mu\text{R}/\text{h}$.

Based on the above information, the exposure rates for this property are within DOE guidelines. Further, it should be emphasized that natural background exposure rates vary widely across the United States and are often significantly higher than average background for this area.

SURFACE AND SUBSURFACE RADIONUCLIDE CONCENTRATIONS IN SOIL

FOR 2 BRANCA COURT

Coordinates ^a		Depth (ft)	Concentration (pCi/g ± 2 sigma)		
East	North		Uranium-238	Radium-226	Thorium-232
3305	2131	0.0 - 0.5	< 7.7	0.9 ± 0.3	2.4 ± 0.7
3305	2131	0.5 - 1.0	< 7.6	0.9 ± 0.3	3.4 ± 0.9
3306	2180	0.0 - 0.5	< 4.9	0.8 ± 0.2	< 2.3
3306	2180	0.5 - 1.0	< 5.4	0.6 ± 0.3	< 2.1
3313	2165	0.0 - 0.5	< 6.6	1.1 ± 0.3	< 3.0
3313	2165	0.5 - 1.0	< 5.5	0.7 ± 0.4	1.8 ± 0.3
3320	2125	0.0 - 0.5	< 6.5	0.6 ± 0.4	2.4 ± 0.7
3320	2125	0.5 - 1.0	< 5.6	0.7 ± 0.2	2.5 ± 0.3
3325	2098	0.5 - 1.0	< 2.1	< 0.5	< 0.9
3325	2098	1.0 - 2.0	< 5.0	< 1.4	< 1.7
3325	2098	3.0 - 4.0	< 5.6	< 1.1	< 1.7
3325	2098	4.0 - 6.0	< 9.3	< 1.5	13.9 ± 0.6
3325	2098	8.0 - 9.5	< 4.9	< 1.1	< 1.6
3328	2185	0.0 - 0.5	< 4.0	0.4 ± 0.1	< 1.8
3328	2185	0.5 - 1.0	< 5.2	0.8 ± 0.1	< 1.8
3341	2166	0.0 - 0.5	< 6.4	0.9 ± 0.2	2.4 ± 0.1
3341	2166	0.5 - 1.0	< 5.9	1.3 ± 0.4	0.9 ± 0.7
3360	2142	0.0 - 0.5	< 6.9	0.6 ± 0.3	2.0 ± 0.6
3360	2142	0.5 - 1.0	< 4.8	0.8 ± 0.004	2.6 ± 0.1
3365	2115	0.0 - 0.5	< 6.9	0.9 ± 0.4	2.2 ± 0.6
3365	2115	0.5 - 1.0	< 9.0	1.2 ± 0.4	6.7 ± 1.4

^aSampling locations are shown in Figure 4-2.

TABLE 5-2

DOWNHOLE GAMMA LOGGING RESULTS

FOR 2 BRANCA COURT

Page 1 of 5

Coordinates ^a		Depth ^b (ft)	Count Rate ^c (cpm)
East	North		
<u>Borehole 497R^d</u>			
3305	2131	0.5	12000
3305	2131	1.0	15000
3305	2131	1.5	16000
3305	2131	2.0	15000
3305	2131	2.5	16000
3305	2131	3.0	16000
3305	2131	3.5	17000
3305	2131	4.0	19000
3305	2131	4.5	53000
3305	2131	5.0	92000
3305	2131	5.5	91000
3305	2131	6.0	33000
3305	2131	6.5	17000
3305	2131	7.0	12000
3305	2131	7.5	9000
<u>Borehole 495R^d</u>			
3306	2180	0.5	8000
3306	2180	1.0	9000
3306	2180	1.5	12000
3306	2180	2.0	12000
3306	2180	2.5	12000
3306	2180	3.0	13000
3306	2180	3.5	16000
3306	2180	4.0	20000
3306	2180	4.5	17000
3306	2180	5.0	13000
3306	2180	5.5	11000
3306	2180	6.0	11000
3306	2180	6.5	10000
<u>Borehole 496R^d</u>			
3313	2165	0.5	8000
3313	2165	1.0	11000
3313	2165	1.5	12000
3313	2165	2.0	13000
3313	2165	2.5	14000

TABLE 5-2

(continued)

Page 2 of 5

Coordinates ^a		Depth ^b (ft)	Count Rate ^c (cpm)
East	North		

Borehole 496R (continued)^d

3313	2165	3.0	14000
3313	2165	3.5	14000
3313	2165	4.0	12000
3313	2165	4.5	13000
3313	2165	5.0	16000
3313	2165	5.5	12000
3313	2165	6.0	10000
3313	2165	6.5	10000
3313	2165	7.0	9000

Borehole 491R^d

3320	2125	0.5	11000
3320	2125	1.0	10000
3320	2125	1.5	11000
3320	2125	2.0	14000
3320	2125	2.5	19000
3320	2125	3.0	21000
3320	2125	3.5	22000
3320	2125	4.0	16000
3320	2125	4.5	15000
3320	2125	5.0	22000
3320	2125	5.5	75000
3320	2125	6.0	38000
3320	2125	6.5	44000
3320	2125	7.0	16000
3320	2125	7.5	12000
3320	2125	8.0	10000

Borehole 1199R^d

3325	2098	0.5	11000
3325	2098	1.0	8000
3325	2098	1.5	9000
3325	2098	2.0	8000
3325	2098	2.5	8000
3325	2098	3.0	12000
3325	2098	3.5	19000

TABLE 5-2
(continued)

Page 3 of 5

Coordinates ^a		Depth ^b	Count Rate ^c
East	North	(ft)	(cpm)

Borehole 1199R (continued)^d

3325	2098	4.0	42000
3325	2098	4.5	106000
3325	2098	5.0	54000
3325	2098	5.5	20000
3325	2098	6.0	13000
3325	2098	6.5	10000
3325	2098	7.0	9000
3325	2098	7.5	9000
3325	2098	8.0	10000
3325	2098	8.5	12000
3325	2098	9.0	11000

Borehole 494R^d

3328	2185	0.5	16000
3328	2185	1.0	16000
3328	2185	1.5	18000
3328	2185	2.0	17000
3328	2185	2.5	18000
3328	2185	3.0	18000
3328	2185	3.5	28000
3328	2185	4.0	26000
3328	2185	4.5	27000
3328	2185	5.0	20000
3328	2185	5.5	15000
3328	2185	6.0	15000
3328	2185	6.5	14000
3328	2185	7.0	14000

Borehole 493R^d

3341	2166	0.5	8000
3341	2166	1.0	10000
3341	2166	1.5	10000
3341	2166	2.0	12000
3341	2166	2.5	14000

TABLE 5-2
(continued)

Page 4 of 5

Coordinates ^a		Depth ^b	Count Rate ^c
East	North	(ft)	(cpm)

Borehole 493R (continued)^d

3341	2166	3.0	13000
3341	2166	3.5	14000
3341	2166	4.0	13000
3341	2166	4.5	15000
3341	2166	5.0	23000
3341	2166	5.5	26000
3341	2166	6.0	16000
3341	2166	6.5	12000
3341	2166	7.0	11000
3341	2166	7.5	10000
3341	2166	8.0	9000

Borehole 492R^d

3360	2142	0.5	9000
3360	2142	1.0	11000
3360	2142	1.5	13000
3360	2142	2.0	12000
3360	2142	2.5	12000
3360	2142	3.0	13000
3360	2142	3.5	13000
3360	2142	4.0	13000
3360	2142	4.5	14000
3360	2142	5.0	37000
3360	2142	5.5	20000
3360	2142	6.0	18000
3360	2142	6.5	15000
3360	2142	7.0	11000
3360	2142	7.5	9000

Borehole 490R^d

3365	2115	0.5	11000
3365	2115	1.0	14000
3365	2115	1.5	14000
3365	2115	2.0	13000
3365	2115	2.5	15000
3365	2115	3.0	15000

TABLE 5-2
(continued)

Page 5 of 5

Coordinates ^a		Depth ^b (ft)	Count Rate ^c (cpm)
East	North		
<u>Borehole 490R (continued)^d</u>			
3365	2115	3.5	17000
3365	2115	4.0	24000
3365	2115	4.5	57000
3365	2115	5.0	77000
3365	2115	5.5	104000
3365	2115	6.0	84000
3365	2115	6.5	48000
3365	2115	7.0	24000
3365	2115	7.5	23000
3365	2115	8.0	12000

^aBorehole locations are shown in Figure 4-1.

^bThe variations in depths of boreholes and corresponding results given in this table are based on the boreholes penetrating the contamination or the drill reaching refusal.

^cInstrument used was 5.0- by 5.0-cm (2- by 2-in.) thallium-activated sodium iodide gamma scintillation detector.

^dBottom of borehole collapsed.

TABLE 5-3
 GAMMA RADIATION EXPOSURE RATES
 FOR 2 BRANCA COURT

Coordinates ^a		Rate ^b (μ R/h)
East	North	
3305	2168	8
3310	2110	9
3348	2130	8
3348	2175	9
Interior of Residence		4

^aMeasurement locations are shown in Figure 4-3.

^bMeasurements include background.

REFERENCES

1. U.S. Department of Energy. Description of the Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program, ORO-777, Oak Ridge, Tenn., September 1980 (as modified by DOE in October 1983).
2. Argonne National Laboratory. Action Description Memorandum, Interim Remedial Actions at Maywood, New Jersey, Argonne, Ill., March 1987.
3. Argonne National Laboratory. Action Description Memorandum, Proposed 1984 Remedial Actions at Maywood, New Jersey, Argonne, Ill., June 8, 1984.
4. Bechtel National, Inc. Post-Remedial Action Report for the Lodi Residential Properties, DOE/OR/20722-89, Oak Ridge, Tenn., August 1986.
5. NUS Corporation. Radiological Study of Maywood Chemical, Maywood, New Jersey, November 1983.
6. EG&G Energy Measurements Group. An Aerial Radiologic Survey of the Stepan Chemical Company and Surrounding Area, Maywood, New Jersey, NRC-8109, Oak Ridge, Tenn., September 1981.
7. Oak Ridge National Laboratory. Results of the Mobile Gamma Scanning Activities in Lodi, New Jersey, ORNL/RASA-84/3, Oak Ridge, Tenn., October 1984.
8. Oak Ridge National Laboratory. Results of the Radiological Survey at 2 Branca Court (LJ036), Lodi, New Jersey, ORNL/RASA-88/44, Oak Ridge, Tenn., June 1989.

9. Thermo Analytical/Eberline. "Technical Review of FUSRAP Instrument Calibrations by Comparison to TMC Calibration Pads," May 1989.
10. U.S. Code of Federal Regulations. 40 CFR 192, "Health and Environmental Protection Standards for Uranium and Thorium Mill Tailings," Washington, D.C., July 1986.
11. National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements. Environmental Radiation Measurements, NCRP Report No. 50, Washington, D.C., December 27, 1986.
12. Levin, S. G., R. K. Stoms, E. Kuerze, and W. Huskisson. "Summary of Natural Environmental Gamma Radiation Using a Calibrated Portable Scintillation Counter." Radiological Health Data Report 9:679-695 (1968).

APPENDIX A
GEOLOGIC DRILL LOGS FOR 2 BRANCA COURT

GEOLOGIC DRILL LOG			PROJECT FUSRAP		JOB NO. 14501-138	SHEET NO. 1 OF 1	HOLE NO. 497R
SITE 2 Branca Ct. (LODI)			COORDINATES N 2,131 E 3,305		ANGLE FROM HORIZ Vertical		BEARING -----
BEGUN 10-29-86	COMPLETED 10-29-86	DRILLER MORETRENCH	DRILL MAKE AND MODEL B&S Little Beaver	SIZE 4"	OVERBURDEN 9.0	ROCK (FT.)	TOTAL DEPTH 9.0
CORE RECOVERY (FT./%)		CORE BOXES	SAMPLES	EL. TOP CASING 42.8	DEPTH/EL. GROUND WATER 6.5/36.3	DEPTH/EL. TOP OF ROCK	
SAMPLE HAMMER WEIGHT/FALL N/A		CASING LEFT IN HOLE: DIA./LENGTH NONE		LOGGED BY: D. McGRANE			

SAMP. TYPE AND DIAM.	SAMP. ADU. LEN. CORE	SAMP. REC. CORE REC.	SAMPLE BLOWS "N" % CORE RECOVERY	WATER PRESSURE TESTS			ELEV.	DEPTH	GRAPHICS	SAMPLE	DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION	NOTES ON: WATER LEVELS, WATER RETURN, CHARACTER OF DRILLING, ETC.
				LOSS IN G.P.M.	PRESS. P.S.I.	TIME IN MIN.						
							42.8				<p>0.0 - 9.0 Ft. Silty SAND (SM). Fill (0.0-4.5) and indigenous material (4.5-9.0). Color stratified. Fine- to medium-grained with few to numerous pieces of rounded to angular gravel (and occasional cobbles) of various lithologies in the fill material. Soft, unconsolidated (loose), sometimes clayey (SC-OH). Moist to saturated at 6.5 Ft.</p> <p>0.0-0.3 Ft. Moderate brown (5YR3/4); grass roots.</p> <p>0.3-4.5 Ft. Dark reddish brown (10R3/4); mottled moderate brown; few roots.</p> <p>4.5-5.0 Ft. Grayish black (N2); clayey. May be stream sediments.</p> <p>5.0-9.0 Ft. Dark yellowish brown with a greenish hue (5.0-6.0). May be decomposed sandstone.</p> <p>9.0 Ft. Bottom of hole. Auger spoils were replaced in the hole, 10/29/86.</p>	<p>Borehole advanced 0.0-9.0 ft. using 4" solid stem augers.</p> <p>Site checked for radioactive contamination and hole gamma-logged by TMA-Eberline, Corp. 6.5 Ft. Groundwater observed.</p>

SS = SPLIT SPOON; ST = SHELBY TUBE; O = DENNISON; P = PITCHER; Q = OTHER	SITE 2 Branca Ct. (LODI)	HOLE NO. 497R
--	------------------------------------	-------------------------

GEOLOGIC DRILL LOG			PROJECT	JOB NO.	SHEET NO.	HOLE NO.	
			FUSRAP	14501-138	1 OF 1	495R	
SITE		COORDINATES			ANGLE FROM HORIZ. BEARING		
2 Branca Ct. (LODI)		N 2,180 E 3,306			Vertical -----		
BEGUN	COMPLETED	DRILLER	DRILL MAKE AND MODEL	SIZE	OVERBURDEN	ROCK (FT.)	TOTAL DEPTH
10-29-86	10-29-86	MORETRENCH	B&S Little Beaver	4"	9.0		9.0
CORE RECOVERY (FT./%)	CORE BOXES	SAMPLES	SEL. TOP CASING	GROUND EL.	DEPTH/EL. GROUND WATER	DEPTH/EL. TOP OF ROCK	
/				42.8	6.5/36.3	/	
SAMPLE HAMMER WEIGHT/FALL		CASING LEFT IN HOLE: DIA./LENGTH		LOGGED BY:			
N/A		NONE		D. McGRANE <i>gpc</i>			

SAMP. I. AND DIA.	SAMP. ADV. LEN. CORE	SAMP. REC. CORE REC.	SAMPLE BLOWS "N" % CORE RECOVERY	WATER PRESSURE TESTS			ELEV.	DEPTH	GRAPHICS	SAMPLE	DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION	NOTES ON: WATER LEVELS, WATER RETURN, CHARACTER OF DRILLING, ETC.
				LOSS IN G.P.M.	PRESS. P.S.I.	TIME IN MIN.						
							42.8				<p>0.0 - 9.0 Ft. Silty SAND (SM). Fill (0.0-3.5) and indigenous material (3.5-9.0). Color stratified. Fine- to medium-grained with few to numerous pieces of rounded to angular gravel (and occasional cobbles) of various lithologies in the fill material. Soft, unconsolidated (loose), sometimes clayey (SC-OH). Moist to saturated at 6.5 Ft.</p> <p>0.0-0.3 Ft. Moderate brown (5YR 3/4); grass roots.</p> <p>0.3-3.5 Ft. Dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/2); mottled moderate brown.</p> <p>3.5-5.0 Ft. Grayish black (N2); clayey. May be stream sediments.</p> <p>5.0-9.0 Ft. Dark yellowish brown. May be decomposed sandstone.</p> <p>9.0 Ft. Bottom of hole. Auger spoils were replaced in the hole, 10/29/86.</p>	<p>Borehole advanced 0.0-9.0 ft. using 4" solid stem augers.</p> <p>Site checked for radioactive contamination and hole gamma-logged by TMA-Eberline, Corp. 6.5 Ft. Groundwater observed.</p>

SS = SPLIT SPOON; ST = SHELBY TUBE; D = DENNISON; P = PITCHER; O = OTHER	SITE	HOLE NO.
	2 Branca Ct. (LODI)	495R

GEOLOGIC DRILL LOG			PROJECT	JOB NO.	SHEET NO.	HOLE NO.	
			FUSRAP	14501-138	1 OF 1	496R	
SITE		COORDINATES			ANGLE FROM HORIZ BEARING		
2 Branca Ct. (LODI)		N 2,165 E 3,313			Vertical -----		
BEGUN	COMPLETED	DRILLER	DRILL MAKE AND MODEL	SIZE	OVERBURDEN	ROCK (FT.)	TOTAL DEPTH
10-29-86	10-29-86	MORETRENCH	B&S Little Beaver	4"	9.0		9.0
CORE RECOVERY (FT./%)		CORE BOXES	SAMPLES	EL. TOP CASING	GROUND EL.	DEPTH/EL. GROUND WATER	DEPTH/EL. TOP OF ROCK
					42.8	6.5/36.3	
SAMPLE HAMMER WEIGHT/FALL		CASING LEFT IN HOLE: DIA./LENGTH		LOGGED BY:			
N/A		NONE		D. McGRANE <i>DM</i>			

SAMP. TYPE AND DIAM.	SAMP. ADU. LEN. CORE	SAMP. REC. CORE REC.	SAMPLE BLOWS "N" % CORE RECOVERY	WATER PRESSURE TESTS			ELEV.	DEPTH	GRAPHICS	SAMPLE	DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION	NOTES ON: WATER LEVELS, WATER RETURN, CHARACTER OF DRILLING, ETC.
				LOSS IN G.P.M.	PRESS. P.S.I.	TIME IN MIN.						
							42.8				0.0 - 9.0 Ft. Silty SAND (SM). Fill (0.0-5.0) and indigenous material (5.0-9.0). Color stratified. Fine- to medium-grained with few to numerous pieces of rounded to angular gravel (and occasional cobbles) of various lithologies in the fill material. Soft, unconsolidated (loose), sometimes clayey (SC-OH). Moist to saturated at 6.5 Ft.	Borehole advanced 0.0-9.0 ft. using 4" solid stem augers. Site checked for radioactive contamination and hole gamma-logged by TMA-Eberline, Corp. 6.5 Ft. Groundwater observed.
										0.0-0.3 Ft. Moderate brown (5YR 3/4).		
							33.8			0.3-5.0 FT. Moderate brown, mottled dark reddish brown (10R 3/4); pieces of wood and glass. May be mixed fill and buried soil horizon.		
											5.0-9.0 Ft. Dark yellowish brown (10YR4/2). May be decomposed sandstone.	
											9.0 Ft. Bottom of hole. Auger spoils were replaced in the hole, 10/29/86.	

SS = SPLIT SPOON; ST = SHELBY TUBE; D = DENNISON; P = PITCHER; O = OTHER	SITE	HOLE NO.
	2 Branca Ct. (LODI)	496R

GEOLOGIC DRILL LOG

PROJECT

FUSRAP

JOB NO.

14501-138

SHEET NO.

1 OF 1

HOLE NO.

491R

SITE

2 Branca Ct. (LODI)

COORDINATES

N 2,125 E 3,320

ANGLE FROM HORIZ BEARING

Vertical

BEGUN

0-29-86

COMPLETED

10-29-86

DRILLER

MORETRENCH

DRILL MAKE AND MODEL

B&S Little Beaver

SIZE

4"

OVERBURDEN

9.0

ROCK (FT.)

TOTAL DEPTH

9.0

CORE RECOVERY (FT./%)

CORE BOXES

SAMPLES

EL. TOP CASING

GROUND EL.

42.8

DEPTH/EL. GROUND WATER

8.0/34.8

DEPTH/EL. TOP OF ROCK

SAMPLE HAMMER WEIGHT/FALL

N/A

CASING LEFT IN HOLE: DIA./LENGTH

NONE

LOGGED BY:

D. McGRANE

SAMP. TYPE AND DIAM.	SAMP. ADV. LEN CORE	SAMP. REC. CORE REC.	SAMP. BLOWS "N" X CORE RECOVERY	WATER PRESSURE TESTS			ELEV.	DEPTH	GRAPHICS	SAMPLE	DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION	NOTES ON: WATER LEVELS, WATER RETURN, CHARACTER OF DRILLING, ETC.
				LOSS IN G.P.M.	PRESS. P.S.I.	TIME IN MIN.						
							42.8				0.0 - 9.0 Ft. Silty SAND (SM). Fill (0.0-8.0) and indigenous material (0.0-8.0). Color stratified. Fine- to medium-grained with few to numerous pieces of rounded to angular gravel (and occasional cobbles) of various lithologies in the fill material. Soft, unconsolidated (loose), sometimes clayey (SC-OH). Moist to saturated at 8.0 Ft.	Borehole advanced 0.0-9.0 ft. using 4" solid stem augers.
											0.0-0.3 Ft. Moderate brown (5YR 3/4); garden fill.	Site checked for radioactive contamination and hole gamma-logged by TMA-Eberline, Corp. 8.0 Ft. Groundwater observed.
											0.3-4.5 Ft. Dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/2).	
							33.8				4.5-8.0 Ft. Moderate brown, mottled grayish black (N2). May be mixed fill and stream sediments.	
											8.0-9.0 Ft. Dark yellowish brown. May be decomposed sandstone.	
											9.0 Ft. Bottom of hole. Auger spoils were replaced in the hole, 10/29/86.	

Description and classification of soil samples by visual examination.

SS = SPLIT SPOON; ST = SHELBY TUBE; SITE
D = DENNISON; P = PITCHER; O = OTHER

2 Branca Ct. (LODI)

HOLE NO. 491R

GEOLOGIC DRILL LOG				PROJECT		JOB NO.	SHEET NO.	HOLE NO.			
				FUSRAP		14501-138	1 OF 1	1199R			
SITE			COORDINATES			ANGLE FROM HORIZ BEARING					
2 Branca Ct. (LODI)			N 2,098 E 3,325			Vertical -----					
BEGUN	COMPLETED	DRILLER	DRILL MAKE AND MODEL	SIZE	OVERBURDEN	ROCK (FT.)	TOTAL DEPTH				
12-6-87	12-6-87	E.D.I.	MOBILE B-57	6"	8.5	1.0	9.5				
CORE RECOVERY (FT./%)		CORE BOXES	SAMPLES	EL. TOP CASING	GROUND EL.	DEPTH/EL. GROUND WATER	DEPTH/EL. TOP OF ROCK				
/			6			✓ /	8.5/				
SAMPLE HAMMER WEIGHT/FALL		CASING LEFT IN HOLE: DIA./LENGTH		LOGGED BY:							
140 lbs / 30 in		NONE		David Harnish 							
SAMP. TYPE AND DIAM.	SAMP. ADV. LEN CORE	SAMPLE REC. CORE REC.	SAMPLE BLOWS "N" % CORE RECOVERY	WATER PRESSURE TESTS			ELEV.	DEPTH	GRAPHICS SAMPLE	DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION	NOTES ON: WATER LEVELS, WATER RETURN, CHARACTER OF DRILLING, ETC.
				LOSS IN G.P.M.	PRESS. P.S.I.	TIME IN MIN.					
SS	1.5	1.3	10 15 9								Borehole drilled 0.0-10.0 Ft. using 6" hollow-stem augers. Site checked for radioactive contamination, and hole gamma-logged by TMA-Eberline, Corp. No groundwater observed. Hole collapsed to 9.5 Ft deep. Description and classification of soil samples by visual examination.
SS	2.0	1.4	6 14 12 15						0.0 - 6.3 ft. <u>Silty GRAVEL (GM)</u> , Gravelly <u>SILT (GM-MC)</u> and <u>SILT (MC)</u> , FILL.		
									0.0-0.5 ft. Silty gravel, broken basalt.		
									0.5-2.7 ft. Gravelly SILT, very dark gray (N2) with pieces of wood, steel and New Brunswick sandstone gravel.		
									2.7-3.0 ft. GRAVEL, asphalt.		
									3.0-3.3 ft. SILT, very dark gray.		
									3.3-4.0 ft. Silty GRAVEL, dusky red, New Brunswick sandstone gravel, matrix of decomposed sandstone and shale.		
SS	1.0	1.1	23 35						4.0 - 6.3 ft. <u>SILT</u> . Mixed very dark gray, greenish gray, reddish brown. Some very fine, dark grayish brown silty sand. May be indigenous soils.		
SS	1.0	0.9	41 41						6.3 - 8.5 ft. <u>SAND (SP)</u> . Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) becoming reddish gray downward (5YR 5/2), very fine-grained.		
SS	1.5	1.1	11 41 100/5"						6.3-6.7 ft. Silty.		
									8.0 - 8.5 ft. <u>SAND</u> and <u>Silty GRAVEL</u> . Dark grayish brown (10YR 4/2).		
									8.5 - 9.5 ft. <u>WEATHERED BEDROCK</u> . Dusky red New Brunswick sandstone. Fissile with horizontal fractures, becomes harder downward.		
Bottom of boring at 10.0 ft. Borehole backfilled with spoils, 12/6/87.											
SS = SPLIT SPOON; ST = SHELBY TUBE; D = DENNISON; P = PITCHER; O = OTHER								SITE		HOLE NO.	
2 Branca Ct. (LODI)										1199R	

GEOLOGIC DRILL LOG

PROJECT **FUSRAP** JOB NO. **14501-138** SHEET NO. **1 OF 1** HOLE NO. **494R**

SITE **2 Branca Ct. (LODI)** COORDINATES **N 2,185 E 3,328** ANGLE FROM HORIZ BEARING **Vertical**

BEGUN **10-29-86** COMPLETED **10-29-86** DRILLER **MORETRENCH** DRILL MAKE AND MODEL **B&S Little Beaver** SIZE **4"** OVERBURDEN **8.0** ROCK (FT.) **8.0** TOTAL DEPTH **8.0**

CORE RECOVERY (FT./%) **/** CORE BOXES **/** SAMPLES **/** EL. TOP CASING **/** GROUND EL. **42.8** DEPTH/EL. GROUND WATER **6.5/36.3** DEPTH/EL. TOP OF ROCK **/**

SAMPLE HAMMER WEIGHT/FALL **N/A** CASING LEFT IN HOLE: DIA./LENGTH **NONE** LOGGED BY: **D. McGRANE**

SAMP. TYPE AND DIAM.	SAMP. ADV. LEN. CORE	SAMP. REC. CORE REC.	SAMPLE BLOWS "N" % CORE RECOVERY	WATER PRESSURE TESTS			ELEV.	DEPTH	GRAPHICS	SAMPLE	DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION	NOTES ON: WATER LEVELS, WATER RETURN, CHARACTER OF DRILLING, ETC.
				LOSS IN G.P.M.	PRESS. P.S.I.	TIME IN MIN.						
							42.8				<p>0.0 - 8.0 Ft. Silty SAND (SM). Fill (0.0-3.5) and indigenous material (3.5-8.0). Color stratified. Fine- to medium-grained with few to numerous pieces of rounded to angular gravel (and occasional cobbles) of various lithologies in the fill material. Soft, unconsolidated (loose), sometimes clayey (SC-OH). Moist to saturated at 6.5 Ft.</p> <p>0.0-0.3 Ft. Moderate brown (5YR 3/4); grass roots.</p> <p>0.3-3.5 Ft. Dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/2); mottled moderate brown.</p> <p>3.5-5.0 FT. Grayish black (N2); clayey. May be stream sediments.</p> <p>5.0-8.0 Ft. Dark yellowish brown (10YR4/2). May be decomposed sandstone.</p> <p>8.0 FT. Bottom of hole. Auger spoils were replaced in the hole, 10/29/86.</p>	<p>Borehole advanced 0.0-8.0 ft. using 4" solid stem augers.</p> <p>Site checked for radioactive contamination and hole gamma-logged by TMA-Eberline, Corp. 6.5 Ft. Groundwater observed.</p>

SS = SPLIT SPOON; ST = SHELBY TUBE; SITE **2 Branca Ct. (LODI)** HOLE NO. **494R**
 O = DENNISON; P = PITCHER; O = OTHER

GEOLOGIC DRILL LOG

PROJECT

FUSRAP

JOB NO.

14501-138

SHEET NO.

1 OF 1

HOLE NO.

492R

SITE

2 Branca Ct. (LODI)

COORDINATES

N 2,142 E 3,360

ANGLE FROM HORIZ. BEARING

Vertical

BEGUN	COMPLETED	DRILLER	DRILL MAKE AND MODEL	SIZE	OVERBURDEN	ROCK (FT.)	TOTAL DEPTH
10-29-86	10-29-86	MORETRENCH	B&S Little Beaver	4"	9.0		9.0

CORE RECOVERY (FT./%)	CORE BOXES	SAMPLES	EL. TOP CASING	GROUND EL.	DEPTH/EL. GROUND WATER	DEPTH/EL. TOP OF ROCK
/				42.8	6.5/36.3	/

SAMPLE HAMMER WEIGHT/FALL	CASING LEFT IN HOLE: DIA./LENGTH	LOGGED BY:
N/A	NONE	D. McGRANE <i>DMG</i>

SAMP. T.M. AND DIAM.	SAMP. ADU. LEN CORE	SAMPLE REC. CORE REC.	SAMPLE BLOWS "N" % CORE RECOVERY	WATER PRESSURE TESTS			ELEV.	DEPTH	GRAPHICS	SAMPLE	DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION	NOTES ON: WATER LEVELS, WATER RETURN, CHARACTER OF DRILLING, ETC.
				LOSS IN G.P.M.	PRESS. P.S.I.	TIME IN MIN.						
							42.8				<p>0.0 - 9.0 Ft. Silty SAND (SM). Fill (0.0-5.0) and indigenous material (5.0-9.0). Color stratified. Fine- to medium-grained with few to numerous pieces of rounded to angular gravel (and occasional cobbles) of various lithologies in the fill material. Soft, unconsolidated (loose), sometimes clayey (SC-OH). Moist to saturated at 6.5 Ft.</p> <p>0.0-0.3 Ft. Moderate brown (5YR 3/4); numerous grass roots.</p> <p>0.3-5.0 Ft. Dark reddish brown (10R 3/4); mottled moderate brown.</p> <p>5.0-6.0 Ft. Grayish black (N2); numerous organics.</p> <p>6.0-9.0 Ft. Dark yellowish brown with a greenish hue (6.0-7.0 Ft.). May be decomposed sandstone.</p> <p>9.0 Ft. Bottom of hole. Auger spoils were replaced in the hole, 10/29/86.</p>	<p>Borehole advanced 0.0-9.0 ft. using 4" solid stem augers.</p> <p>Site checked for radioactive contamination and hole gamma-logged by TMA-Eberline, Corp. 6.5 Ft. Groundwater observed.</p>

SS = SPLIT SPOON; ST = SHELBY TUBE;) = DENNISON; P = PITCHER; O = OTHER

SITE
2 Branca Ct. (LODI)

HOLE NO.
492R

GEOLOGIC DRILL LOG				PROJECT		JOB NO.	SHEET NO.	HOLE NO.				
				FUSRAP		14501-138	1 OF 1	490R				
SITE			COORDINATES			ANGLE FROM HORIZ. BEARING						
2 Branca Ct. (LODI)			N 2,115 E 3,365			Vertical -----						
EGUM	COMPLETED	DRILLER	DRILL MAKE AND MODEL		SIZE	OVERBURDEN	ROCK (FT.)	TOTAL DEPTH				
0-29-86	10-29-86	MORETRENCH	B&S Little Beaver		4"	9.0		9.0				
CORE RECOVERY (FT./%)	CORE BOXES	SAMPLES	EL. TOP CASING	GROUND EL.	DEPTH/EL. GROUND WATER		DEPTH/EL. TOP OF ROCK					
/				42.8	7.0/35.8							
SAMPLE HAMMER WEIGHT/FALL		CASING LEFT IN HOLE: DIA./LENGTH			LOGGED BY:							
N/A		NONE			D. McGRANE <i>gpl</i>							
SAMP. AND DIAM.	SAMP. ADV. LEN. CORE	SAMPLE REC. CORE REC.	SAMPLE BLOWS "N" % CORE RECOVERY	WATER PRESSURE TESTS			ELEV.	DEPTH	GRAPHICS	SAMPLE	DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION	NOTES ON: WATER LEVELS, WATER RETURN, CHARACTER OF DRILLING, ETC.
				LOSS IN G.P.M.	PRESS. P.S.I.	TIME IN MIN.						
							42.8					
								5			0.0 - 9.0 Ft. Silty SAND (SM). Fill (0.0-5.5?) and indigenous material (5.5?-9.0). Color stratified. Fine- to medium-grained with few to numerous pieces of rounded to angular gravel (and occasional cobbles) of various lithologies in the fill material. Soft, unconsolidated (loose), sometimes clayey (SC-OH). Moist to saturated at 7.0 Ft.	Borehole advanced 0.0-9.0 ft. using 4" solid stem augers.
											0.0-0.3 FT. Moderate brown (5YR 3/4); numerous grass roots and organics.	Site checked for radioactive contamination and hole gamma-logged by TMA-Eberline, Corp. 7.0 Ft. Groundwater observed.
											0.3-5.5 FT. Mottled dark reddish brown (10R 3/4) and moderate brown. A piece of porcelain. May be mixed fill and stream sediments.	
							33.8				5.5-9.0 Ft. Moderate brown, clayey. A few roots and organics. May be mixed stream sediments and decomposed sandstone.	
											9.0 Ft. Bottom of hole. Auger spoils were replaced in the hole, 10/29/86.	
											Description and classification of soil samples by visual examination.	
SS = SPLIT SPOON; ST = SHELBY TUBE; SITE D = DENNISON; P = PITCHER; O = OTHER											HOLE NO.	
2 Branca Ct. (LODI)											490R	